Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Positioning Service (SPS) Performance Analysis Report

Submitted To

Federal Aviation Administration
GPS Product Team

1284 Maryland Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20024

Report #71
July 31, 2010
Reporting Period: 1 July – 30 September 2010

Submitted by

William J. Hughes Technical Center
NSTB/WAAS T&E Team
Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The GPS Product Team has tasked the Navigation Branch at the William J. Hughes Technical Center to document the Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Positioning Service (SPS) performance in quarterly GPS Performance Analysis (PAN) Reports. The report contains the analysis performed on data collected at twenty-eight Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) Reference Stations. This analysis verifies the GPS SPS performance as compared to the performance parameters stated in the SPS Specification (September 2008).

This report, Report #71, includes data collected from 1 July through 30 September 2010. The next quarterly report will be issued January 1, 2011.

Analysis of this data includes the following standards and categories: PDOP Availability, NANU Summary and Evaluation, Service Availability, Position and Range Accuracy and Solar Storm Effects on GPS SPS performance.

PDOP availability is based on Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP). Utilizing the weekly almanac posted on the US Coast Guard navigation web site, the coverage for every 5^o grid point between 180W to 180E and 80S and 80N was calculated for every minute over a 24-hour period for each of the weeks covered in the reporting period. For this reporting period, the global availability based on PDOP less than six for the CONUS was 99.987% or better.

NANU summary and evaluation was achieved by reviewing the “Notice: Advisory to Navstar Users” (NANU) reports issued between 1 July and 30 September 2010. Using this data, we compute a set of statistics that give a relative idea of constellation health for both the current and combined history of past quarters. A total of eleven outages were reported in the NANU’s this quarter. Ten outages were scheduled while one was an unscheduled outage.

The quarterly service availability standard was verified using 24-hour position accuracy values computed from data collected at one-second intervals. All of the sites achieved a 100% availability, which exceeds the SPS “average location” value of 99% and the “worst-case location” value of 90%.

Calculating the 24-hour 95% horizontal and vertical position error values verified the accuracy standards. The User Range Error standard was verified for each satellite from 24-hour accuracy values computed using data collected at the following six sites: Boston, Honolulu, Los Angeles, Miami, San Juan and Juneau. This data was also collected in one-second samples. All sites achieved 100% reliability, meeting the SPS specification. The maximum range error recorded was 26.171 meters on Satellite PRN 30. The SPS specification states that the range error should never exceed 30 meters for less than 99.79% of the day for a worst-case point and 99.94% globally. The maximum RMS range error value of 1.823 recorded on satellite PRN 8. The SPS specification states that RMS URE cannot exceed 6 meters in any 24-hour interval.

Geomagnetic storms had little to no effect on GPS performance this quarter. All sites met all GPS Standard Positioning Service (SPS) specifications on those days with the most significant solar activity.

The IGS is a voluntary federation of many worldwide agencies that pool resources and permanent GNSS station data to generate precise GNSS products. During the evaluation period, the maximum 95% horizontal and vertical SPS errors were 4.07 meters at Maspalomas, Spain and 5.48 meters at Dededo, Guam, respectively.

From the analysis performed on data collected between 1 July and 30 September 2010, the GPS performance met all SPS requirements that were evaluated. There were no significant problems to report for the duration of the quarter.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION……………….………………………………………………….………………5

1.1 Objective of GPS SPS Performance Analysis Report…..………………………………5
1.2 Summary of Performance Requirements and Metrics……………………………….…6
1.3 Report Overview………………………………………………………….……….6

2.0 PDOP Availability Standard…………………...…………………………………………………….11

3.0 NANU Summary and Evaluation………………….……………………………………………….14

3.1 Satellite Outages from NANU Reports……………………………………………...14
3.2 Service Availability Standard……….……………………………………………..16

4.0 Service Reliability Standard…..……………………………………………………………...18

5.0 Accuracy Standard………………………………………………………………………………19

5.1 Position Accuracy……………………………………………………………………...20
5.2 Time Transfer Accuracy………...…………………………………………………..22
5.3 Range Domain Accuracy………….…………………………………………………...23

6.0 **Solar Storms……………………………………………………………………….…...29**

7.0 **IGS Data……..……………………………………………………………….…....32**

Appendix A: Performance Summary……..……………………………………………………..36-37

Appendix B: Geomagnetic Data……………………………………………………………......38-39

Appendix C: Performance Analysis (PAN) Problem Report…………………………………………40

Appendix D: Glossary…………………………………………………………………...........41

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2-1 PDOP Availability (24-Hour Period: 29 July 2010)…....….....….………....... 12
Figure 2-2 Satellite Visibility Profile for Worst-Case Point: 29 July 2010……………….…. 13
Figure 5-1 Global Vertical Error Histogram.…………………………………………….…. 21
Figure 5-2 Global Horizontal Error Histogram.………………………………………….…… 21
Figure 5-3 Time Transfer Error………………………………………………………………. 22
Figure 5-4 Distribution of Daily Max Range Errors: 1 July – 30 September 2010……..….. 26
Figure 5-5 Distribution of Daily Max Range Error Rates: 1 July – 30 September 2010...… 26
Figure 5-6 Distribution of Daily Max Range Acceleration Error:
1 July – 30 September 2010…………….………….……………………….... 27
Figure 5-7 Combined Range Error Histogram: 1 July – 30 September 2010………… 27
Figure 5-8 Maximum Range Error Per Satellite…………………………………………… 28
Figure 5-9 Maximum Range Rate Error Per Satellite………………………………….…...... 28
Figure 5-10 Maximum Range Acceleration Per Satellite……………………………………. 28
Figure 6-1 K-Index for 3-5 August 2010…….…………..…..…..………………………… 30
Figure 6-2 K-Index for 23-25 August 2010…….………….…………………………………… 30
Figure 6-3 K-Index for 14-16 July 2010.…..…………........................…………………… 30
Figure 7-1 Selected IGS Site Locations..…...…………...................…………………… 33
Figure 7-2 GPS-SPS 95% Horizontal Accuracy at Selected IGS Sites.…………………… 34
Figure 7-3 GPS-SPS 95% Vertical Accuracy at Selected IGS Sites......…………………… 34
Figure 7-4 MATE Position Errors on Day 267 of 2010 (9/24/10)………………………… 35

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1-1 SPS Performance Requirements………………………………………………… 7-8
Table 2-1 PDOP Availability Statistics………………………………………………………… 12
Table 3-1 NANU's Affecting Satellite Availability…………………………………………… 14
Table 3-2 NANU's Forecasted to Affect Satellite Availability……………………………….. 15
Table 3-3 NANU's Canceled to Affect Satellite Availability…………………………………. 15
Table 3-4 GPS Block II/IIA Satellite RMA Data. …………….…………………………….. 15
Table 3-5 Accuracies Exceeding Threshold Values……………………………………….. 17
Table 4-1 Service Reliability Based on User Range Error…………………….……..……. 18
Table 5-1 Horizontal & Vertical Accuracy Statistics………………………………………… 20
Table 5-2 Range Error Statistics……………………………………………………………… 23
Table 5-3 Range Rate Error Statistics………………………………………………………… 25
Table 5-4 Range Acceleration Error Statistics……………………………………………….. 25
Table 6-1 Horizontal & Vertical Accuracy Statistics: 4 August 2010…………………………. 31
Table 7-1 Selected IGS Site Information……………………………………….……………. 32
Table 7-2 GPS-SPS Performance at a Selection of High Rate IGS Sites……………………. 33

1.0 Introduction

1.1 Objective of GPS SPS Performance Analysis Report

In 1993, the FAA began monitoring and analyzing Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Positioning Service (SPS) performance data. At present, the FAA has approved GPS and WAAS for IFR operations and is developing Local Area Augmentation (LAAS), which is an additional GPS augmentation system. In order to ensure the safe and effective use of GPS and its augmentation systems within the NAS, it is critical that characteristics of GPS performance as well as specific causes for service outages be monitored and understood. To accomplish this objective, GPS SPS performance data is documented in a quarterly GPS Analysis report. This report contains data collected at the following twenty-eight WAAS reference station locations:

- Bethel, AK
- Billings, MT
- Fairbanks, AK
- Cold Bay, AK
- Kotzebue, AK
- Juneau, AK
- Albuquerque, NM
- Anchorage, AK
- Boston, MA
- Washington, D.C.
- Honolulu, HI
- Houston, TX
- Kansas City, KS
- Los Angeles, CA
- Salt Lake City, UT
- Miami, FL
- Minneapolis, MI
- Oakland, CA
- Cleveland, OH
- Seattle, WA
- San Juan, PR
- Atlanta, GA
- Barrow, AK
- Merida, Mexico
- Gander, Canada
- Tapachula, Mexico
- San Jose Del Cabo, Mexico
- Iqaluit, Canada

The analysis of the data is divided into the four performance categories stated in the Standard Positioning Service Performance Specification (October 2001). These categories are:

- PDOP Availability Standard
- Service Availability Standard
- Service Reliability Standard
- Positioning, Ranging and Timing Accuracy Standard

The results were then compared to the performance parameters stated in the SPS.

1.2 Summary of Performance Requirements and Metrics

Table 1-1 lists the performance parameters from the SPS and identifies those parameters verified in this report.

1.3 Report Overview

Section 2 of this report summarizes the results obtained from the coverage calculation program developed by the GPS test team. The SPS coverage area program uses the GPS satellite almanacs to compute each satellite position as a function of time for a selected day of the week. This program establishes a 5-degree grid between 180 degrees east and 180 degrees west, and from 80 degrees north and 80 degrees south. The program then computes the PDOP at each grid point (1485 total grid points) every minute for the entire day and stores the results. After the PDOP's have been saved the 99.99% index of 1-minute PDOP at each grid point is determined and plotted as contour lines (Figure 2-1). The program also saves the number of satellites used in PDOP calculation at each grid point for analysis.

Section 3 summarizes the GPS constellation performance by providing the "Notice: Advisory to Navstar Users" (NANU) messages to calculate the total time of forecasted and actual satellite outages. This section also evaluates the Service Availability Standard using 24-hour 95% horizontal and vertical position accuracy values.

Section 4 summarizes service reliability performance. It will be reported at the end of the first year of this analysis because the SPS standard is based on a measurement interval of one year. Data for the quarter is provided for completeness.

Section 5 provides the position accuracies based on data collected on a daily basis at one-second intervals. This section also provides the statistics on the range error, range error rate and range acceleration error for each satellite. The overall average, maximum, minimum and standard deviations of the range rates and accelerations are tabulated for each satellite.

In Section 6, the data collected during solar storms is analyzed to determine the effects, if any, of GPS SPS performance.

Section 7 provides an analysis of GPS-SPS accuracy performance from a selection of high rate IGS stations around the world.

Appendix A provides a summary of all the results as compared to the SPS specification.

Appendix B provides the geomagnetic data used for Section 6.

Appendix C provides a PAN Problem Report.

Appendix D provides a glossary of terms used in this PAN report. This glossary was obtained directly from the GPS SPS specification document (October 2001).

Table 1-1 SPS SIS Performance Requirements Standards

Per-Satellite Coverage	**Conditions and Constraints**	**Evaluated in This Report**
Terrestrial Service Volume: 100% Coverage Space Service Volume: No Coverage Performance Specified	• For any health or marginal SPS SIS	**Future Report**
Constellation Coverage	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Terrestrial Service Volume: 100% Coverage Space Service Volume: No Coverage Performance Specified	• For any healthy or marginal SPS SIS	**Future Report**
User Range Error Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Single Frequency C/A-Code • ≤ 7.8m 9%% Global Average URE during normal operations over All AODs • ≤ 6.0m 95% Global Average URE during operations at Zero AOD • ≤ 12.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1	✓
Single Frequency C/A-Code • ≤ 30m 99.94% Global Average URE during normal operations • ≤ 30m 99.79% Worst Case single point average during normal operations.	• For any healthy SPS SIS. • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1 • Standard based on measurement interval of one year; average of daily values within service volume • Standard based on 3 service failures per year, lasting no more than 6 hours each	✓
User Range Rate Error Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • ≤ 6 mm/sec 95% Global Average URRE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors	✓

User Range Acceleration Error Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • ≤ 2 mm/sec^2 95% Global average URAE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors	✓
Coordinated Universal Time Offset Error Accuracy		
• ≤ 40 nanoseconds 95% Global average UTCOE during normal operations at Any AOD.	• For any healthy SPS SIS	✓
Instantaneous URE Integrity	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • ≤ 1x10^{-5} Probability over any hour of the SPS SIS Instantaneous URE exceeding the NTE tolerance without a timely alert during normal operations.	• For any healthy SPS SIS • SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance defined to be ±4.42 times the upper bound on the URA value corresponding to the URA index "N" currently broadcast by the satellite. • Given that the maximum SPS SIS instantaneous URE did not exceed the NTE tolerance at the start of the hour • Worst case for delayed alert is 6 hours. • Neglecting singe-frequency ionospheric delay model errors	**Future Report**
Instantaneous UTCOE Integrity	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • ≤ 1x10^{-5} Probability over any hour of the SPS SIS Instantaneous UTCOE exceeding the NTE tolerance without a timely alert during normal operations.	• For any healthy SPS SIS • SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance defined	**Future Report**
Unscheduled Failure Interruption Continuity	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Unscheduled Failure Interruptions: • ≥ 0.9998 Probability over any hour of not losing the SPS SIS availability from a slot due to unscheduled interruption	• Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually • Given that the SPS SIS is available form the slot at the start of the hour	**Future Report**

Status and Problem Reporting	Conditions and Constraints	
Scheduled event affecting service • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA at least 48 hours prior to the event	• For any SPS SIS	✓
Unscheduled outage or problem affecting service • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA as soon as possible after the event	• For any SPS SIS	✓
Per-Slot Availability	**Conditions and Constraints**	
• ≥ 0.957 Probability that a slot in the baseline 24-slot configuration will be occupied by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS • ≥ 0.957 Probability that a slot in the expanded configuration will be occupied by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a health SPS SIS	• Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually • Applies to satellites broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS that also satisfy the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard.	**Annually Beginning Quarter 4, 2010**
Constellation Availability	**Conditions and Constraints**	
• ≥ 0.98 Probability that at least 21 slots out of the 24 will be occup0ied either by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the baseline 24-slot configuration or by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the expanded slot configuration • ≥ 0.99999 Probability that at least 20 slots out of the 24 will be occupied either by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the baseline 24-slot configuration or by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the expanded slot configuration	• Calculated as a n average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually. • Applies to satellites broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS that also satisfies the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard.	**Annually Beginning Quarter 4, 2010**
Operational Satellite Count	**Conditions and Constraints**	
• ≥ 0.95 Probability that the constellation will have a t least 24 operational satellites regardless of whether those operational satellites are located in slots or not	• Applies to the total number of operational satellites in the constellation (averaged over any day); where any satellite which appears in the transmitted navigation message almanac is defined to be an operation satellite regardless of whether that satellite is currently broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS or not and regardless of whether the broadcast SPS SIS also satisfies the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard or not.	✓

PDOP Availability	**Conditions and Constraints**	
• ≥ 98% global PDOP of 6 or less • ≥ 88% worst site PDOP of 6 or less	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval	✓
Service Availability	**Conditions and Constraints**	
• ≥ 99% Horizontal Service Availability, average location • ≥ 99% Vertical Service Availability, average location	• 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.	✓
• ≥ 90% Horizontal Service Availability, worst-case location • ≥ 90% Vertical Service Availability, worst-case location	• 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.	✓
Position/Time Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Global Average Position Domain Accuracy • ≤ 9m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 15m 95% Vertical Error	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.	✓
Worst Site Position Domain Accuracy • ≤ 17m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 37m 95% Vertical Error	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.	✓
Time Transfer Domain Accuracy • ≤ 40 nanoseconds time transfer error 95% of time (SIS only)	• Defined for a time transfer solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.	✓

2.0 PDOP Availability Standard

PDOP Availability: *The percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the PDOP value is less than or equal to its threshold for any point within the service volume.*

Dilution of Precision (DOP): *The magnifying effect on GPS position error induced by mapping GPS ranging errors into position within the specified coordinate system through the geometry of the position solution. The DOP varies as a function of satellite positions relative to user position. The DOP may be represented in any user local coordinate desired. Examples are HDOP for local horizontal, VDOP for local vertical, PDOP for all three coordinates, and TDOP for time. .*

PDOP Availability Standard	Conditions and Constraints
≥ 98% global PDOP of 6 or less ≥ 88% worst site PDOP of 6 or less	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval

Almanacs for GPS weeks used for this coverage portion of the report were obtained from the Coast Guard web site (www.navcen.uscg.mil). Using these almanacs, an SPS coverage area program developed by the GPS test team was used to calculate the PDOP at every 5^{o} point between longitudes of 180W to 180E and 80S and 80N at one-minute intervals. This gives a total of 1440 samples for each of the 2376 grid points in the coverage area. Table 2-1 provides the global averages and worst-case availability over a 24-hour period for each week. Table 2-1 also gives the global 99.9% PDOP value for each of the thirteen GPS Weeks. The PDOP was 3.701 or better 99.9% of the time for each of the 24-hour intervals.

Figure 2-1 is a contour plot of PDOP values over the entire globe. Inside each contour area, the PDOP value is greater than or equal to the contour value shown in the legend for that color line. That areas' value is also less than the next higher contour value, unless another contour line lies within the current area. A single "DOP hole" where the PDOP value is greater than 6 was evaluated for satellite visibility for one 24-hour interval from the week shaded in Table 2-1. The histogram in figure 2-2 shows the satellite visibility at the DOP hole position for the 24 hour interval in question.

The GPS coverage performance evaluated met the specifications stated in the SPS.

Table 2-1 PDOP Availability Statistics

Date Range of Week	Global 99.9% PDOP Value*	Global Average* (Spec: ≥ 98%)	Worst-Case Point (Spec: ≥ 88%)
4 – 10 July	3.693	99.988	98.472
11 – 17 July	3.721	99.988	98.472
18 – 24 July	3.745	99.988	98.472
25 – 31 July	3.728	99.989	98.472
1 – 7 Aug	3.735	99.988	98.472
8 – 14 Aug	3.685	99.988	98.472
15 – 21 Aug	3.640	99.988	98.472
22 – 28 Aug	3.599	99.987	98.403
29 Aug – 4 Sept	3.355	99.989	98.472
5 – 11 Sept	3.297	99.989	98.403
12 – 18 Sept	3.236	99.989	98.472
19 – 25 Sept	3.178	99.990	98.542
26 Sept – 2 Oct	3.154	99.990	98.542



Figure 2-2 Satellite Visibility Profile for Worst-Case Point (Lat: -60, Lon: -165)



3.0 NANU Summary and Evaluation

NANU: Notice Advisory to NAVSTAR Users - a periodic bulletin alerting users to changes in the satellite system performance.

Status and Problem Reporting	Conditions and Constraints
Scheduled event affecting service • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA at least 48 hours prior to the event	• For any SPS SIS
Unscheduled outage or problem affecting service • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA as soon as possible after the event	• For any SPS SIS

3.1 Satellite Outages from NANU Reports

Satellite availability performance was analyzed based on published "Notice: Advisory to Navstar Users" messages (NANU's). During this reporting period, 1 July through 30 September 2010, there were a total of eleven reported outages. Ten of these outages were maintenance activities and were reported in advance while one was an unscheduled outage. A complete listing of outage NANU's for the reporting period is provided in Table 3-1. A complete listing of the forecasted outage NANU's for the reporting period can be found in Table 3-2. Canceled outage NANU's (if any) are provided in Table 3-3. The minimum duration a scheduled outage was forecasted ahead of time was 91.65 hours, which exceeded the 48-hour requirement. The maximum response time for a NANU issued for an unscheduled outage was 0.216 hours.

Table 3-1 NANUs Affecting Satellite Availability

NANU #	PRN	Type	Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time	Total Unscheduled	Total Scheduled	Total
2010103	16	FCSTSUMM	29-Jul	14:11	29-Jul	21:26		7.25	7.25
2010105	26	FCSTSUMM	03-Aug	17:26	03-Aug	22:22		4.93	4.93
2010107	10	FCSTSUMM	19-Aug	00:10	19-Aug	04:40		4.50	4.50
2010111	14	FCSTSUMM	24-Aug	08:34	24-Aug	14:41		6.12	6.12
2010112	3	FCSTSUMM	26-Aug	17:03	26-Aug	22:59		5.93	5.93
2010114	26	FCSTSUMM	27-Aug	11:18	27-Aug	17:00		5.70	5.70
2010120	30	UNUSABLE	15-Sep	17:37	15-Sep	19:11	1.57		1.57
2010126	19	FCSTSUMM	21-Sep	13:04	21-Sep	19:03		5.98	5.98
2010127	20	FCSTSUMM	23-Sep	05:08	23-Sep	10:38		5.50	5.50
2010128	5	FCSTSUMM	27-Sep	21:48	28-Sep	03:09		5.35	5.35
2010129	25	FCSTSUMM	29-Sep	18:46	29-Sep	20:01		1.25	1.25
	Total Actual Unscheduled and Scheduled Downtime and Total Actual Downtime						**1.57**	**52.52**	**54.08**

General NANU's

2010113: PRN 25 became usable starting 0410Z on August 27
2010115: L5 and L2C signals become available on PRN 25
2010117: 2SOPS assesses the current software baseline
2010118: Advised users that the assessment started in NANU 2010117 is completed

Table 3-2 NANUs Forecasted to Affect Satellite Availability

NANU #	PRN	Type	Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time	Total	Comments
2010102	16	FCSTDV	29-Jul	13:45	30-Jul	01:45	12	See Nanu 2010103
2010104	26	FCSTDV	03-Aug	17:15	04-Aug	17:15	24	See Nanu 2010105
2010106	10	FCSTDV	19-Aug	00:00	19-Aug	12:00	12	See Nanu 2010107
2010108	14	FCSTDV	24-Aug	08:15	24-Aug	20:15	12	See Nanu 2010111
2010109	3	FCSTDV	26-Aug	16:45	27-Aug	04:45	12	See Nanu 2010112
2010110	26	FCSTMX	27-Aug	11:00	27-Aug	23:00	12	See Nanu 2010114
2010119	30	UNUSUFN	15-Sep	17:37	N/A	N/A	N/A	See Nanu 2010120
2010121	19	FCSTDV	21-Sep	12:45	22-Sep	00:45	12	See Nanu 2010126
2010122	20	FCSTDV	23-Sep	05:00	23-Sep	17:00	12	See Nanu 2010127
2010123	5	FCSTDV	27-Sep	21:30	28-Sep	09:30	12	See Nanu 2010128
2010124	25	FCSTMX	29-Sep	18:00	30-Sep	00:00	6	See Nanu 2010129
2010125	30	FCSTDV	30-Sep	21:30	01-Oct	21:30	24	See Nanu 2010130
						Total Forecast Downtime	**150.00**	

Table 3-3 NANUs Canceled

NANU#	PRN	Type	Start Date	Start Time	Comments
None					

Satellite Reliability, Maintainability, and Availability (RMA) data is being collected based on published "Notice: Advisory to Navstar Users" messages (NANU's). This data has been summarized in Table 3-4.
The "Total Satellite Observed MTTR" was calculated by taking the average downtime of all satellite outage occurrences. Schedule downtime was forecasted in advance via NANU's. All other downtime reported via NANU was considered unscheduled. The "Percent Operational" was calculated based on the ratio of total actual operating hours to total available operating hours for every satellite.

Table 3-4 GPS Block II/IIA Satellite RMA Data

Satellite Reliability/Maintainability/Availability (RMA) Parameter	1-Jul-10 30-Sep-10	1-Oct-99 30-Sep-10
Total Forecast Downtime (hrs):	150.00	7805.55
Total Actual Downtime (hrs):	54.08	27505.55
Total Actual Scheduled Downtime (hrs):	52.52	3947.78
Total Actual Unscheduled Downtime (hrs):	1.57	23557.77
Total Satellite Observed MTTR (hrs):	4.91	44.65
Scheduled Satellite Observed MTTR (hrs):	5.25	8.70
Unscheduled Satellite Observed MTTR (hrs):	1.57	145.42
# Total Satellite Outages:	11	616
# Scheduled Satellite Outages:	10	454
# Unscheduled Satellite Outages:	1	162
Percent Operational -- Scheduled Downtime:	99.923	99.836
Percent Operational -- All Downtime:	99.921	98.856

Service Availability: The percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% positioning error is less than its threshold for any given point within the service volume.

• **Horizontal Service Availability:** The percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% horizontal error is less than its threshold for any point within the service volume.
• **Vertical Service Availability:** The percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% vertical error is less than its threshold for any point within the service volume.

Service Availability Standard	Conditions and Constraints
• ≥ 99% Horizontal Service Availability, average location • ≥ 99% Vertical Service Availability, average location	• 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.
• ≥ 90% Horizontal Service Availability, worst-case location • ≥ 90% Vertical Service Availability, worst-case location	• 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

To verify availability, the data collected from receivers at the twenty-eight WAAS sites was reduced to calculate 24-hour accuracy information and reported in Table 3-5. The data was collected at one-second intervals between 1 July and 30 September 2010.

Table 3-5 Accuracies Exceeding Threshold Statistics

Site	Total Number of Seconds of SPS Monitoring	Instances of 24-hour Threshold Failures	Quarters Service Availability %
Albuquerque	7926446	0	100%
Anchorage	7927943	0	100%
Atlanta	7923062	0	100%
Barrow	7926550	0	100%
Bethel	7875172	0	100%
Billings	7927008	0	100%
Boston	7927953	0	100%
Cleveland	7928036	0	100%
Cold Bay	7926257	0	100%
Fairbanks	7926072	0	100%
Gander	7927865	0	100%
Honolulu	7076830	0	100%
Houston	7928031	0	100%
Iqaluit	7924331	0	100%
Juneau	7922486	0	100%
Kansas City	7927985	0	100%
Kotzebue	7921439	0	100%
Los Angeles	7331785	0	100%
Merida	7927255	0	100%
Miami	7928004	0	100%
Minneapolis	7928020	0	100%
Oakland	7927967	0	100%
Salt Lake City	7927972	0	100%
San Jose Del Cabo	7915258	0	100%
San Juan	7927949	0	100%
Seattle	7928007	0	100%
Tapachula	9864	0	100%
Washington, DC	7928032	0	100%
Global Average over Reporting Period = 100% (SPS Spec. > 95.87%)			

4.0 User Range Error Accuracy Standard

Service Reliability: *The percentage of time over a specified time interval that the instantaneous SIS SPS URE is maintained within a specified reliability threshold at any given point within the service volume, for all healthy GPS satellites.*

User Range Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints
Single Frequency C/A-Code • ≤ 30m 99.94% Global Average URE during normal operations • ≤ 30m 99.79% Worst Case single point average during normal operations.	• For any healthy SPS SIS. • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1 • Standard based on measurement interval of one year; average of daily values within service volume • Standard based on 3 service failures per year, lasting no more than 6 hours each

Table 4-1 shows a comparison to the service reliability standard for range data collected at a set of six receivers across North America. Although the specification calls for yearly evaluations, we will be evaluating this SPS requirement at quarterly intervals. Additional range analysis results can be found in table 5-2 on page 21. The maximum User Range Error recorded this quarter was 26.171 meters on satellite PRN 30.

Table 4-1 User Range Error Accuracy

Date Range of Data Collection	Site	Number of Samples This Quarter	Number of Samples where SPS URE > 30m NTE	Percentage
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2010	**Boston**	67,213,744	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2010	**Honolulu**	61,939,998	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2010	**Los Angeles**	62,834,424	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2010	**Miami**	67,384,190	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2010	**San Juan**	69,911,135	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2010	**Juneau**	69,398,443	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2010	**Global**	398,681,934	0	100%

5.0 Accuracy Standard

Positioning Accuracy: The statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.
• **Horizontal Positioning Accuracy:** The statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between horiz position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.
• **Vertical Positioning Accuracy:** The statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between vertical position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

Position/Time Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints
Global Average Position Domain Accuracy • ≤ 9m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 15m 95% Vertical Error	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.
Worst Site Position Domain Accuracy • ≤ 17m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 37m 95% Vertical Error	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.
Time Transfer Domain Accuracy • ≤ 40 nanoseconds time transfer error 95% of time (SIS only)	• Defined for a time transfer solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.

User Range Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints
Single Frequency C/A-Code • ≤ 7.8m 9%% Global Average URE during normal operations over All AODs • ≤ 6.0m 95% Global Average URE during operations at Zero AOD • ≤ 12.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • ≤ 6 mm/sec 95% Global Average URRE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • ≤ 2 mm/sec^2 95% Global average URAE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors
Coordinated Universal Time Offset Error Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**
• ≤ 40 nanoseconds 95% Global average UTCOE during normal operations at Any AOD.	• For any healthy SPS SIS

5.1 Position Accuracy

The data used for this section was collected for every second from 1 July through 30 September 2010 at the selected WAAS locations.

Table 5-1 provides the 95% and 99.99% horizontal and vertical error accuracies for the quarter. Every twenty-four hour analysis period this quarter passed both the worst-case and global position accuracy requirements set forth by the SPS specification.

Table 5-1 Horizontal & Vertical Accuracy Statistics for the Quarter

Site	95% Horizontal (Meters)	95% Vertical (Meters)	99.99% Horizontal (Meters)	99.99% Vertical (Meters)
Albuquerque	1.992	3.457	5.335	8.635
Anchorage	1.831	3.328	4.245	7.409
Atlanta	1.992	3.265	6.908	7.834
Barrow	1.607	3.741	3.475	11.690
Bethel	1.877	3.323	3.644	8.202
Billings	1.978	3.178	5.213	8.241
Boston	1.925	2.994	7.033	6.177
Cleveland	1.912	3.249	7.305	6.263
Cold Bay	2.138	3.279	4.207	7.188
Fairbanks	1.704	3.516	4.171	9.432
Gander	1.850	2.802	7.681	7.808
Honolulu	3.610	4.171	6.902	9.766
Houston	2.174	3.491	6.252	8.962
Iqaluit	1.697	3.435	8.638	26.052
Juneau	1.829	3.190	3.541	7.201
Kansas City	1.996	3.363	6.978	6.289
Kotzebue	1.699	3.578	3.532	7.749
Los Angeles	2.068	3.559	4.534	10.882
Merida	2.977	4.309	6.991	10.782
Miami	2.456	3.594	7.048	9.228
Minneapolis	1.929	3.240	6.577	6.691
Oakland	2.088	3.585	3.701	7.488
Salt Lake City	1.929	3.309	4.077	7.398
San Jose Del Cabo	3.090	4.895	7.899	13.571
San Juan	2.700	3.922	7.760	13.899
Seattle	2.113	3.168	3.839	7.105
Tapachula	1.126	3.388	3.287	5.698
Washington, DC	1.960	3.170	7.351	5.937

Figures 5-1 and 5-2 are the combined histograms of the vertical and horizontal errors for all twenty-eight WAAS sites from 1 July to 30 September 2010.

Figure 5-1 Global Vertical Error Histogram



Figure 5-2 Global Horizontal Error Histogram



5.2 Time Transfer Accuracy

The GPS time error data between 1 July and 30 September 2010 was down loaded from USNO Internet site. The USNO data file contains the time difference between the USNO master clock and GPS system time for each GPS satellites during the time period. Over 10,000 samples of GPS time error are contained in the USNO data file. In order to evaluate the GPS time transfer error, the data file was used to create a histogram (Fig 5-3) to represent the distribution of GPS time error. The histogram was created by taking the absolute value of time difference between the USNO master clock and GPS system time, then creating data bins with one nanosecond precision. The number of samples in each bin was then plotted to form the histogram in Fig 5-3. The mean, standard deviation, and 95% index are within the requirements of GPS SPS time error.

Figure 5-3 Time Transfer Errors



5.3 Range Domain Accuracy

Tables 5-3 through 5-5 provide the statistical data for the range error, range rate error and the range acceleration error for each satellite. This data was collected between 1 July and 30 September 2010.

A weighted average filter was used for the calculation of the range rate error and the range acceleration error. All Range Domain SPS specifications were met.

Table 5-2 Range Error Statistics (meters)

PRN	RMS Range Error (≤ 6 m)	Range Error Mean	1σ	95% Range Error	Max Range Error (SPS Spec. ≤ 30 m)	Samples
2	1.310	0.228	1.145	2.511	10.449	14131422
3	1.725	0.216	1.320	3.068	14.245	12024934
4	1.462	-0.297	1.237	2.831	9.168	13878446
5	1.346	-0.487	1.108	2.550	7.297	13764656
6	1.471	-0.173	1.156	2.608	17.597	12542709
7	1.623	-0.768	1.223	2.859	10.408	11976512
8	1.823	-0.089	1.414	3.361	13.119	12716431
9	1.650	0.157	1.335	3.016	9.644	12988864
10	1.787	0.958	1.260	3.215	10.010	12471438
11	1.576	0.236	1.255	2.791	12.960	12289035
12	1.290	-0.161	1.142	2.507	13.015	14293015
13	1.358	-0.319	1.146	2.480	16.067	13601161
14	1.411	0.637	1.111	2.609	16.256	13920881
15	1.146	0.021	0.941	2.176	14.928	12667613
16	1.329	0.184	1.080	2.427	23.056	12805112
17	1.613	-0.586	1.348	3.033	7.818	14144852
18	1.523	0.921	1.036	2.631	7.768	12935950
19	1.548	0.644	1.168	2.751	9.310	12310522
20	1.398	0.566	1.083	2.571	16.609	14061267
21	1.625	0.893	1.094	2.788	8.495	12026566
22	1.557	0.701	1.064	2.748	12.444	12280239
23	1.270	0.174	1.073	2.334	14.505	12657186
24	1.631	0.582	1.215	3.019	13.221	14091633
25	1.350	0.553	1.112	2.486	9.639	5004450
26	1.270	0.011	1.073	2.513	21.608	12222961
27	1.683	0.005	1.416	3.156	19.390	13550116
28	1.710	0.038	1.342	3.093	13.043	12567497
29	1.324	-0.057	1.104	2.459	16.414	13664209
30	1.626	-0.174	1.352	3.051	26.171	13094891
31	1.375	-0.274	1.114	2.580	18.763	13919138
32	1.531	0.868	1.061	2.810	19.728	14078228

Table 5-3 Range Rate Error Statistics (millimeters/second)

PRN	Range Rate Error RMS (mm/s)	95% Range Rate Error (mm/s)	Max Range Rate Error (mm/s)	Samples
2	1.409	2.735	69.180	14131422
3	1.931	2.919	179.700	12024934
4	1.597	2.673	126.810	13878446
5	1.393	2.660	102.400	13764656
6	1.366	2.591	117.740	12542709
7	1.415	2.710	68.460	11976512
8	1.894	3.076	153.760	12716431
9	1.800	2.874	183.480	12988864
10	1.928	2.920	149.360	12471438
11	1.492	2.811	100.930	12289035
12	1.428	2.781	90.390	14293015
13	1.434	2.785	96.880	13601161
14	1.411	2.668	126.500	13920881
15	1.364	2.636	56.520	12667613
16	1.385	2.669	73.690	12805112
17	1.505	2.808	134.320	14144852
18	1.347	2.587	82.680	12935950
19	1.386	2.665	79.720	12310522
20	1.425	2.765	79.360	14061267
21	1.405	2.698	98.960	12026566
22	1.547	2.712	146.040	12280239
23	1.369	2.639	112.750	12657186
24	1.736	2.797	151.860	14091633
25	1.262	2.469	67.870	5004450
26	1.448	2.607	171.620	12222961
27	2.067	2.855	139.650	13550116
28	1.559	2.726	134.610	12567497
29	1.487	2.692	161.710	13664209
30	1.720	2.900	169.100	13094891
31	1.408	2.626	105.050	13919138
32	1.511	2.598	92.260	14078228

Table 5-4 Range Acceleration Error Statistics (micrometers/second^2)

PRN	Range Acceleration Error RMS (µm/s^2)	95% Range Acceleration Error (µm/s^2)	Max Range Acceleration Error (µm/s^2)	Samples
2	10.100	20.908	700	14131422
3	14.939	23.800	1790	12024934
4	12.167	20.222	1260	13878446
5	10.243	20.676	1030	13764656
6	10.539	20.516	1180	12542709
7	10.186	20.536	700	11976512
8	13.801	25.178	1520	12716431
9	13.681	22.057	1830	12988864
10	15.120	23.358	1490	12471438
11	10.663	21.107	1010	12289035
12	10.189	21.148	900	14293015
13	10.238	21.560	960	13601161
14	10.404	21.067	1270	13920881
15	10.094	20.613	570	12667613
16	10.212	20.772	720	12805112
17	10.802	21.317	1340	14144852
18	10.139	20.911	830	12935950
19	10.123	20.677	790	12310522
20	10.234	20.678	800	14061267
21	10.176	21.913	990	12026566
22	11.669	21.800	1450	12280239
23	10.224	20.653	1120	12657186
24	13.443	21.388	1520	14091633
25	10.041	18.369	690	5004450
26	11.079	20.187	1730	12222961
27	16.844	21.414	1390	13550116
28	11.689	20.898	1350	12567497
29	11.270	20.951	1630	13664209
30	12.823	21.948	1690	13094891
31	10.759	20.588	1060	13919138
32	11.387	19.991	930	14078228

Figures 5-4, 5-5 and 5-6 are graphical representations of the distributions of the maximum range error, range rate error and range acceleration error for all satellites. The highest maximum range error occurred on satellite 30 with an error of 26.171 meters. Satellite 5 had the lowest maximum range error of 7.297 meters.

Figure 5-4 Distribution of Daily Max Range Errors



Figure 5-5: Distribution of Daily Max Range Rate Errors



Figure 5-6: Distribution of Daily Max Acceleration Rate Errors



Figure 5-7: Range Error Histogram




Figure 5-8: Maximum Range Error Per Satellite
Maximum Range Error (Meters)
30.000
25.000
20.000
15.000
10.000
5.000
0.000
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
Satellite PRN Number


Figure 5-9: Maximum Range Rate Error Per Satellite
Maximum Range Rate Error (Millimeters/Second)
200
180
160
140
120
100
80
60
40
20
0
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
Satellite PRN Number


Figure 5-10: Maximum Range Acceleration Per Satellite
Maximum Range Accel. Error (Micrometers/ [Sec * Sec])
2000
1800
1600
1400
1200
1000
800
600
400
200
0
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
Satellite PRN Number

6.0 Solar Storms

Solar storm activity is being monitored in order to assess the possible impact on GPS SPS performance. Solar activity is reported by the Space Environment Center (SEC) , a division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). When storm activity is indicated, ionospheric delays of the GPS signal, satellite outages, position accuracy and availability will be analyzed.

The following article was taken from the SEC web site http://sec.noaa.gov. It briefly explains some of the ideas behind the association of the aurora with geomagnetic activity and a bit about how the 'K-index' or 'K-factor' works.

The aurora is caused by the interaction of high-energy particles (usually electrons) with neutral atoms in the earth's upper atmosphere. These high-energy particles can 'excite' (by collisions) valence electrons that are bound to the neutral atom. The 'excited' electron can then 'de-excite' and return back to its initial, lower energy state, but in the process it releases a photon (a light particle). The combined effect of many photons being released from many atoms results in the aurora display that you see.

The details of how high energy particles are generated during geomagnetic storms constitute an entire discipline of space science in its own right. The basic idea, however, is that the Earth's magnetic field (let us say the 'geomagnetic field') is responding to an outwardly propagating disturbance from the Sun. As the geomagnetic field adjusts to this disturbance, various components of the Earth's field change form, releasing magnetic energy and thereby accelerating charged particles to high energies. These particles, being charged, are forced to stream along the geomagnetic field lines. Some end up in the upper part of the earth's neutral atmosphere and the auroral mechanism begins.

An instrument called a magnetometer may also measure the disturbance of the geomagnetic field. At NOAA's operations center magnetometer data is received from dozens of observatories in one-minute intervals. The data is received at or near to 'real-time' and allows NOAA to keep track of the current state of the geomagnetic conditions. In order to reduce the amount of data NOAA converts the magnetometer data into three-hourly indices, which give a quantitative, but less detailed measure of the level of geomagnetic activity. The K-index scale has a range from 0 to 9 and is directly related to the maximum amount of fluctuation (relative to a quiet day) in the geomagnetic field over a three-hour interval.

The K-index is therefore updated every three hours. The K-index is also necessarily tied to a specific geomagnetic observatory. For locations where there are no observatories, one can only estimate what the local K-index would be by looking at data from the nearest observatory, but this would be subject to some errors from time to time because geomagnetic activity is not always spatially homogenous.

Another item of interest is that the location of the aurora usually changes geomagnetic latitude as the intensity of the geomagnetic storm changes. The location of the aurora often takes on an 'oval-like' shape and is appropriately called the auroral oval.

Figures 6-1 through 6-3 show the K-index for three time periods with significant solar activity. Although there were other days with increased solar activity, these time periods were selected as examples. (See Appendix B for the actual geomagnetic data for this reporting period.)

Figure 6-1 K-Index for 3-5 August 2010



Figure 6-2 K-Index for 23-25 August 2010



Figure 6-3 K-Index for 14-16 July 2010



Table 6-1 shows the position accuracy information for the day corresponding to Figure 6-1. The GPS SPS performance met all requirements during all storms that occurred during this quarter.

Table 6-1 Horizontal & Vertical Accuracy Statistics for 4 August 2010

Site	95% Horizontal (Meters)	95% Vertical (Meters)	Maximum Horizontal (Meters)	Maximum Vertical (Meters)
Albuquerque	1.77	5.05	2.30	7.82
Anchorage	3.02	4.58	4.39	7.97
Atlanta	2.56	3.59	3.69	4.38
Barrow	1.85	4.10	2.59	6.94
Bethel	1.89	3.87	2.26	5.00
Billings	3.61	3.95	4.93	6.55
Boston	3.83	3.07	6.00	4.20
Cleveland	4.38	3.29	5.49	4.28
Cold Bay	2.01	3.81	3.30	5.28
Fairbanks	2.76	5.03	3.59	7.02
Gander	2.56	2.45	2.86	4.59
Honolulu	2.02	3.64	3.11	8.53
Houston	2.49	5.25	3.22	7.41
Iqaluit	2.11	2.74	4.49	12.40
Juneau	3.02	5.54	3.74	7.25
Kansas City	2.64	3.64	3.77	4.15
Kotzebue	2.03	4.41	4.37	5.99
Los Angeles	2.02	5.06	2.44	7.04
Merida	3.71	6.16	4.50	10.60
Miami	3.10	4.37	3.80	5.43
Minneapolis	3.97	4.65	4.95	6.85
Oakland	2.10	4.61	3.06	6.11
Salt Lake City	1.82	3.89	2.21	5.70
San Jose Del Cabo	4.34	7.76	5.48	14.10
San Juan	2.58	3.07	3.14	4.51
Seattle	2.79	3.83	4.02	6.33
Tapachula	Data	Not	Available	Site Down
Washington, DC	4.35	3.05	5.28	4.15

7.0 IGS Analysis

GPS SPS accuracy performance was evaluated at a selection of high rate IGS stations(1). The IGS is a voluntary federation of many worldwide agencies that pool resources and permanent GNSS station data to generate precise GNSS products.

High data rate (1 Hz) sites that had high availability in 2006, were outside of the WAAS service area, and provided a good geographic distribution have been selected. To facilitate differentiating between GPS accuracy issues and receiver tracking problems, an automatic data screening function excluded errors greater than 500 meters and or times when VDOP or HDOP were greater than 10. The remaining receiver tracking issues are still included in the processing and are forced into the 50.1 meter histogram bin and are believed to influence the outliers in the 99.99% statistics. The MATE site had a large ramping error on day 267 that appears to be a receiver clock failure. The MATE data for this day has been removed from the statistics computation and trend lines, see figure 7-4.

The Kobachar ionsphere correction model parametes in the gobal broadcast RINEX navigation data files from the cddis.gsfc.nas/gps/data/daily/2010 ftp site were corrupted and caused large daily errors for the equitorial locations. The data was re-processed using Klobachar parameters obtained from the FAA NSTB network or receivers. High quality navigation data is created by voting accross all available IGS high rate navigation data. The IGS brdc global navigation data file is not used because it contains occasional errors. (round off precision, false track records, truncated numbers, proabable bit errors in the parent subframe data, and missing updates)

Table 7.1 and Figure 7-1 show the IGS site information and locations. Table 7.2 shows the GPS SPS Accuracy Performance observed at a selection of High Rate IGS sites. Figure 7-2 shows the 95% horizontal accuracy trends at these sites. Figure 7-3 shows the 95% vertical accuracy trends at these sites. A value of zero indicates no data.

(1) J.M. Dow, R.E. Neilan, G. Gendt, "The International GPS Service (IGS): Celebrating the 10th Anniversary and Looking to the Next Decade," Adv. Space Res. 36 vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 320-326, 2005. Doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2005.05.125

Table 7-1 Selected IGS Site Information

ID	City	Country
GLPS	Puerto Ayora	Ecuador
GUAM	Dededo	Guam
IISC	Bangalore	India
KIRU	Kiruna	Sweden
KOUR	Kourou	French Guyana
MADR	Robledo	Spain
MAL2	Malindi	Kenya
MAS1	Maspalomas	Spain
MOBN	Obninsk	Russian Federation
NNOR	New Norcia	Australia
NRIL	Norilsk	Russian Federation
PETS	Petropavlovsk-Kamchatka	Russian Federation
POL2	Bishkek	Kyrghyzstan
SANT	Santiago	Chile
SUTM	Sutherland	South Africa
TIDB	Tidbinbilla	Australia
USUD	Usuda	Japan

Figure 7-1 Selected IGS Site Locations



Table 7-2 GPS SPS Performance at Selected High Rate IGS Sites

site	95% Horizontal Error (m)	95% Vertical Error (m)	99.99% Horizontal Error (m)	99.99% Vertical Error (m)	Percent Data Available
GLPS	2.34	3.99	5.10	10.47	98.77%
GUAM	2.17	5.48	5.45	16.72	98.11%
IISC	2.16	5.61	5.28	16.84	96.79%
KIRU	1.8	4.05	5.90	13.92	98.84%
KOUR	2.01	3.84	6.10	13.76	97.86%
MADR	2.12	3.48	5.72	8.67	94.71%
MAL2	2.73	4.43	6.25	8.97	96.86%
MAS1	4.07	4.51	8.88	14.85	87.50%
MATE	2.27	3.44	4.93	6.38	89.89%
MOBN	2.44	3.80	6.03	9.51	98.66%
NNOR	2.19	4.58	3.95	13.13	98.76%
NRIL	1.69	3.62	4.59	12.55	96.91%
PETS	2.40	3.87	4.94	8.67	98.82%
POL2	2.44	4.05	14.86	20.79	84.99%
SANT	3.76	4.22	8.74	10.61	98.88%
SUTM	1.91	3.70	6.88	9.58	97.03%
TIDB	2.28	3.88	5.39	8.40	72.49%
USUD	2.68	3.95	6.22	8.34	98.87%

Figure 7-2 GPS SPS 95% Horizontal Accuracy Trends at Selected IGS Sites



Figure 7-3 GPS SPS 95% Vertical Accuracy Trends at Selected IGS Sites



Figure 7-4 MATE Position Errors on Day 267 of 2010 (9/24/10)



APPENDICES A – D

User Range Error Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**	**Measured Performance**
Single Frequency C/A-Code • ≤ 7.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations over All AODs • ≤ 6.0m 95% Global Average URE during operations at Zero AOD • ≤ 12.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1	≤ 3.361 m N/A N/A
Single Frequency C/A-Code • ≤ 30m 99.94% Global Average URE during normal operations • ≤ 30m 99.79% Worst Case single point average during normal operations.	• For any healthy SPS SIS. • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1 • Standard based on measurement interval of one year; average of daily values within service volume • Standard based on 3 service failures per year, lasting no more than 6 hours each	100% Global 100% WCP
User Range Rate Error Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • ≤ 6 mm/sec 95% Global Average URRE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors	≤ 3.076 mm/sec
User Range Acceleration Error Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • ≤ 2 mm/sec^2 95% Global average URAE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD	• For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors	≤ 0.0251 mm/s^2

Status and Problem Reporting	Conditions and Constraints	Measured Performance
Scheduled event affecting service • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA at least 48 hours prior to the event	• For any SPS SIS	≥ 91:39 hours Prior to event
Unscheduled outage or problem affecting service • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA as soon as possible after the event	• For any SPS SIS	≤ 13 minutes
Operational Satellite Count	**Conditions and Constraints**	
• ≥ 0.95 Probability that the constellation will have a t least 24 operational satellites regardless of whether those operational satellites are located in slots or not	• Applies to the total number of operational satellites in the constellation (averaged over any day); where any satellite which appears in the transmitted navigation message almanac is defined to be an operation satellite regardless of whether that satellite is currently broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS or not and regardless of whether the broadcast SPS SIS also satisfies the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard or not.	≥ 100%
PDOP Availability	**Conditions and Constraints**	
• ≥ 98% global PDOP of 6 or less • ≥ 88% worst site PDOP of 6 or less	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval	≥ 99.987 % ≥ 98.403 %
Service Availability	**Conditions and Constraints**	
• ≥ 99% Horizontal Service Availability, average location • ≥ 99% Vertical Service Availability, average location	• 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.	100% Horizontal 100% Vertical
• ≥ 90% Horizontal Service Availability, worst-case location • ≥ 90% Vertical Service Availability, worst-case location	• 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.	100% Horizontal 100% Vertical
Position/Time Accuracy	**Conditions and Constraints**	
Global Average Position Domain Accuracy • ≤ 9m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 15m 95% Vertical Error	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.	≤ 2.080 m Horizontal ≤ 3.482 m Vertical
Worst Site Position Domain Accuracy • ≤ 17m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 37m 95% Vertical Error	• Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.	≤ 3.610 m Horizontal ≤ 4.895 m Vertical
Time Transfer Domain Accuracy • ≤ 40 nanoseconds time transfer error 95% of time (SIS only)	• Defined for a time transfer solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.	≤ 9 nanoseconds

Appendix B Geomagnetic Data

```
#  Prepared by the U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA, Space Weather Prediction Center
#  Please send comment and suggestions to SWPC.Webmaster@noaa.gov
#
#             Current Quarter Daily Geomagnetic Data
```

	Middle Latitude - Fredericksburg -		High Latitude ---- College ----		Estimated --- Planetary ---	
Date	A	K-indices	A	K-indices	A	K-indices
2010 07 01	8	2 3 2 1 2 2 2 2	18	3 3 4 4 3 4 2 2	12	3 4 2 2 2 3 3 3
2010 07 02	8	2 1 3 1 2 1 2 3	17	2 2 5 5 2 1 2 2	9	3 2 3 2 1 2 2 3
2010 07 03	6	2 3 0 2 2 1 1 2	9	2 3 0 4 2 2 2 1	7	2 3 0 2 2 2 2 2
2010 07 04	5	2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	5	2 1 1 3 1 1 1 0	5	2 1 1 1 1 1 2 2
2010 07 05	2	1 0 1 1 1 0 0 1	2	1 1 1 1 1 1 0 0	5	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 2
2010 07 06	1	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0	1	2 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	3	2 1 1 0 0 1 0 1
2010 07 07	2	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1	1	0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	3	1 1 0 0 1 1 1 2
2010 07 08	2	0 0 0 0 0 1 2 2	-1	0-1-1-1-1-1-1-1	3	1 0 0 0 0 1 2 2
2010 07 09	4	2 1 2 0 1 1 1 1	7	2 2 2 4 1 1 1 0	5	2 2 2 1 1 1 1 1
2010 07 10	0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0	2	0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1
2010 07 11	2	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 2	3	0 0 0 0 2 2 1 1	5	1 0 0 1 2 2 1 3
2010 07 12	2	1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1	1	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 1	5	2 0 0 0 1 2 2 3
2010 07 13	1	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	1	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3	2 0 0 0 0 2 1 2
2010 07 14	7	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 4	10	1 2 1 3 4 1 2 3	11	1 1 1 2 2 1 2 5
2010 07 15	10	5 2 1 2 1 1 1 1	13	3 3 2 4 4 2 1 1	10	4 3 1 2 2 2 1 2
2010 07 16	2	0 0 1 1 2 1 0 1	5	0 1 1 4 1 0 0 0	4	1 1 1 1 1 1 0 2
2010 07 17	1	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1
2010 07 18	2	0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3	1 0 0 0 0 1 1 2
2010 07 19	2	0 0 0 1 1 1 1 1	1	0 0 0 0 0 2 1 0	4	1 0 0 1 1 2 1 2
2010 07 20	3	1 0 2 1 1 1 1 1	2	0 1 2 0 0 0 1 1	5	2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2
2010 07 21	3	1 2 0 1 1 1 1 1	4	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	5	2 2 0 1 1 2 2 1
2010 07 22	4	0 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	7	1 1 3 3 2 1 1 1	5	1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2
2010 07 23	6	1 1 2 1 1 2 2 3	9	1 2 2 2 3 3 3 1	8	2 2 2 1 2 2 2 3
2010 07 24	4	2 1 1 0 1 1 2 2	2	1 1 1 0 0 1 1 1	5	2 1 1 0 1 1 2 2
2010 07 25	5	2 1 1 1 2 1 2 2	7	3 1 1 1 4 0 1 1	6	3 1 1 1 2 1 1 2
2010 07 26	5	2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2	3	2 2 1 0 0 1 1 1	6	2 2 1 1 1 2 1 3
2010 07 27	11	2 3 3 2 2 2 3 3	23	3 5 4 4 4 4 2 2	19	3 3 4 4 3 3 4 3
2010 07 28	10	4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	25	4 3 3 6 5 2 2 1	14	4 3 3 3 3 2 3 3
2010 07 29	5	1 2 2 2 2 1 1 1	9	2 2 2 4 3 1 1 1	7	2 3 2 2 2 1 1 2
2010 07 30	5	2 1 0 1 1 2 2 2	8	2 2 1 4 2 2 1 1	7	2 1 1 2 1 2 2 3
2010 07 31	4	2 1 0 1 2 2 1 0	7	3 2 0 2 3 2 1 1	6	2 1 1 1 2 2 2 2
2010 08 01	2	0 0 1 0 1 1 1 2	4	1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1	4	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 2
2010 08 02	5	1 2 1 1 2 2 1 2	5	2 1 2 2 2 2 0 1	5	1 2 1 1 1 2 1 2
2010 08 03	14	1 3 2 1 1 3 3 5	14	2 2 2 2 1 3 3 5	20	2 3 2 1 1 2 5 6
2010 08 04	26	5 4 3 4 4 2 4 4	-1	5 5 2-1-1-1-1-1	42	6 5 3 5 4 4 6 4
2010 08 05	7	3 3 0 1 2 1 2 2	10	4 3 1 2 3 2 1 1	10	4 3 0 1 1 2 2 2
2010 08 06	6	1 3 2 1 1 1 2 2	9	1 4 2 2 3 1 1 1	8	2 3 2 1 2 1 3 2
2010 08 07	4	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1	4	1 1 2 3 1 1 0 0	5	1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1
2010 08 08	2	0 0 0 1 1 1 2 1	1	0 0 0 0 0 1 2 0	4	1 0 0 1 1 1 2 2
2010 08 09	7	2 3 2 1 2 2 1 2	7	1 3 2 1 2 2 2 1	10	3 4 2 1 2 2 2 3
2010 08 10	7	2 2 3 1 2 1 1 2	6	2 2 2 1 2 1 1 2	8	2 2 2 1 1 1 2 3
2010 08 11	9	4 2 4 1 0 2 0 1	16	3 3 5 3 3 3 1 0	10	3 3 3 2 2 1 1 1
2010 08 12	3	1 1 1 1 1 0 1 1	5	0 1 2 2 3 0 1 1	4	2 2 1 1 1 0 0 1
2010 08 13	3	1 2 0 1 1 1 1 1	1	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0	4	1 2 0 1 1 1 1 1
2010 08 14	2	1 0 0 0 1 1 1 1	2	1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1	3	1 0 0 1 1 1 1 2
2010 08 15	5	2 2 0 1 2 1 2 2	4	1 1 0 1 3 0 1 1	5	2 2 0 1 1 1 1 2
2010 08 16	4	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1	8	1 2 2 3 3 2 1 1	7	2 3 1 2 2 2 2 2
2010 08 17	3	1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1	3	1 1 1 1 2 1 0 1	5	1 1 1 1 2 1 2 2
2010 08 18	3	1 3 1 0 1 0 0 0	3	1 2 1 1 2 0 0 0	5	2 2 1 1 2 1 2 1
2010 08 19	3	0 1 1 2 2 1 0 0	2	1 1 1 2 0 0 0 0	5	1 2 1 2 0 1 2 1
2010 08 20	1	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 1	2	1 0 0 1 2 0 1 0	4	1 0 0 0 2 2 2 2
2010 08 21	3	0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3	1 1 0 0 2 1 1 1
2010 08 22	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0	4	1 0 0 0 1 2 2 2
2010 08 23	5	0 0 0 1 1 1 1 4	2	0 0 0 0 1 1 1 1	7	1 0 0 0 1 2 2 4
2010 08 24	13	3 3 2 2 3 2 2 4	47	3 5 5 6 7 4 2 2	18	4 4 3 3 4 3 2 4
2010 08 25	15	4 5 2 2 2 2 2 2	31	4 4 3 6 5 4 2 3	20	5 5 2 3 3 2 2 3
2010 08 26	11	3 2 3 1 2 1 4 2	18	4 3 4 5 3 2 1 0	11	3 4 3 2 2 2 2 2
2010 08 27	10	2 2 3 3 2 2 1 3	20	2 3 3 6 3 3 1 2	14	2 3 3 4 3 3 2 4

2010 08 28	5	3 1 2 1 1 1 1 1	15	3 2 3 5 4 1 1 1	7	3 2 3 1 2 1 1 2
2010 08 29	2	1 0 1 0 0 0 1 1	1	1 0 1 0 0 0 0 1	2	2 0 1 0 0 0 0 1
2010 08 30	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1	2	1 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
2010 08 31	2	0 0 0 1 2 1 1 1	1	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 0	2	1 0 0 0 1 0 1 1
2010 09 01	3	0 1 0 1 1 1 2 1	3	1 1 0 0 0 1 2 2	4	1 0 0 0 0 2 2 2
2010 09 02	5	2 1 2 2 1 2 1 1	12	2 2 3 5 1 3 0 0	8	2 2 2 3 1 2 2 2
2010 09 03	3	3 0 0 0 1 0 1 0	1	0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0	4	1 0 0 0 1 2 3 2
2010 09 04	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	3	1 0 0 0 1 1 2 1
2010 09 05	4	0 0 0 1 0 1 2 3	1	0 0 0 0 0 0 1 2	4	0 0 0 0 0 1 1 3
2010 09 06	6	1 3 2 2 1 1 1 2	8	2 4 2 2 2 1 0 1	8	2 3 1 1 1 1 2 2
2010 09 07	7	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	10	2 2 1 4 3 2 2 2	9	2 2 1 3 2 3 2 2
2010 09 08	8	2 3 1 2 3 2 2 1	21	2 2 1 3 6 5 2 0	10	2 3 0 2 3 3 2 2
2010 09 09	4	1 0 0 1 2 3 1 1	6	1 0 0 1 4 3 0 1	4	1 0 0 1 2 2 0 2
2010 09 10	2	1 1 0 1 0 1 0 0	1	2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	1 1 0 0 0 0 0 0
2010 09 11	1	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1
2010 09 12	1	0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1
2010 09 13	1	0 0 0 0 1 2 0 0	1	0 0 0 1 0 1 0 0	2	0 0 0 0 1 2 0 1
2010 09 14	7	1 1 3 2 1 1 3 2	8	1 2 3 2 2 2 2 2	9	1 2 3 2 1 2 3 3
2010 09 15	4	3 1 2 2 1 0 0 0	-1	3 1 2 2 0 0-1-1	7	4 1 2 2 1 1 1 1
2010 09 16	5	0 1 0 0 2 2 3 2	2	-1-1-1-1-1-1-1 2	6	1 0 1 0 1 3 3 2
2010 09 17	7	2 3 3 1 2 1 1 1	12	2 2 4 4 3 1 1 1	8	2 3 3 2 2 2 2 1
2010 09 18	2	2 1 1 1 1 0 0 0	3	2 1 2 2 0 0 0 0	4	3 1 1 1 1 1 0 1
2010 09 19	2	1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1	3	1 1 1 2 2 0 0 0	4	1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1
2010 09 20	3	0 2 0 0 1 1 2 0	1	0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0	4	0 2 0 1 0 1 2 1
2010 09 21	5	1 2 2 1 1 0 3 0	5	1 1 2 4 0 0 0 1	6	2 2 2 1 1 1 2 1
2010 09 22	1	1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	2	1 0 0 0 0 0 1 2
2010 09 23	6	1 2 2 2 2 1 2 1	3	1 0 1 2 1 1 1 1	5	2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
2010 09 24	9	1 2 3 1 2 3 2 3	17	1 2 4 4 4 4 2 2	13	1 3 3 3 3 3 2 3
2010 09 25	5	2 3 2 1 2 1 0 0	8	3 2 3 3 1 1 0 1	7	3 3 2 1 1 1 1 1
2010 09 26	8	2 1 1 1 3 2 3 2	8	0 0 2 0 4 4 1 1	6	1 1 2 1 2 2 2 2
2010 09 27	5	2 0 1 1 2 2 2 2	5	1 1 1 0 2 3 2 1	6	1 0 0 0 2 2 3 2
2010 09 28	7	2 1 3 2 2 2 2 1	22	1 2 6 5 4 2 1 0	7	1 1 3 2 2 2 2 1
2010 09 29	2	1 2 1 0 1 0 0 0	3	1 1 1 1 2 1 0 0	5	1 3 1 0 2 1 1 1
2010 09 30	1	0 0 0 0 1 1 0 0	0	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	1	0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1

Appendix C Performance Analysis (PAN) Problem Report

Background:
In 1993, the FAA began monitoring and analyzing Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Positioning Service (SPS) performance data. At present, the FAA has approved GPS for IFR and is developing WAAS and LAAS, both of which are GPS augmentation systems. In order to ensure the safe and effective use of GPS and its augmentation systems within the NAS, it is critical that characteristics of GPS performance as well as specific causes for service outages be monitored and understood. To accomplish this objective, GPS SPS performance data is documented in a quarterly GPS Performance Analysis (PAN) report. The PAN report contains data collected at various National Satellite Test Bed (NSTB) and Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) reference station locations. This PAN Problem Report will be issued only when the performance data fails to meet the GPS Standard Positioning Service (SPS) Signal Specification.

Problem Description:

There were no problems to report for the quarter.

The terms and definitions discussed below are taken from the Standard Positioning Service Performance Specification (October 2001). An understanding of these terms and definitions is a necessary prerequisite to full understanding of the Signal Specification.

General Terms and Definitions

Almanac Longitude of the Ascending Node (.o): Equatorial angle from the Prime Meridian (Greenwich) at the weekly epoch to the ascending node at the ephemeris reference epoch.

Coarse/Acquisition (C/A) Code: A PRN code sequence used to modulate the GPS L1 carrier.

Corrected Longitude of Ascending Node (Ωk) and Geographic Longitude of the Ascending Node (GLAN): Equatorial angle from the Prime Meridian (Greenwich) to the ascending node, both at arbitrary time T_k.

Dilution of Precision (DOP): The magnifying effect on GPS position error induced by mapping GPS ranging errors into position within the specified coordinate system through the geometry of the position solution. The DOP varies as a function of satellite positions relative to user position. The DOP may be represented in any user local coordinate desired. Examples are HDOP for local horizontal, VDOP for local vertical, PDOP for all three coordinates, and TDOP for time.

Equatorial Angle: An angle along the equator in the direction of Earth rotation.

Geometric Range: The difference between the estimated locations of a GPS satellite and an SPS receiver.

Ground track Equatorial Crossing (GEC, λ, 2 SOPS GLAN): Equatorial angle from the Prime Meridian (Greenwich) to the location a ground track intersects the equator when crossing from the Southern to the Northern hemisphere. GEC is equal to Ωk when the argument of latitude (Φ) is zero.

Instantaneous User Range Error (URE): The difference between the pseudo range measured at a given location and the expected pseudo range, as derived from the navigation message and the true user position, neglecting the bias in receiver clock relative to GPS time. A signal-in-space (SIS) URE includes residual orbit, satellite clock, and group delay errors. A system URE (sometimes known as a User Equivalent Range Error, or UERE) contains all line-of-sight error sources, to include SIS, single-frequency ionosphere model error, troposphere model error, multipath and receiver noise.

Longitude of Ascending Node (LAN): A general term for the location of the ascending node – the point that an orbit intersects the equator when crossing from the Southern to the Northern hemisphere.

Longitude of the Ground track Equatorial Crossing (GEC, λ, 2 SOPS GLAN): Equatorial angle from the Prime Meridian (Greenwich) to the location a ground track intersects the equator when crossing from the Southern to the Northern hemisphere. GEC is equal to Ωk when the argument of latitude (Φ) is zero.

Mean Down Time (MDT): A measure of time required to restore function after any downing event.

Mean Time Between Downing Events (MTBDE): A measure of time between any downing events.

Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF): A measure of time between unscheduled downing events.

Mean Time to Restore (MTTR): A measure of time required to restore function after an unscheduled downing event.

Navigation Message: Data contained in each satellite's ranging signal and consisting of the ranging signal time-of-transmission, the transmitting satellite's orbital elements, an almanac containing abbreviated orbital element information to support satellite selection, ranging measurement correction information, and status flags. The message structure is described in Section 2.1.2 of the SPS Performance Standard.

Operational Satellite: A GPS satellite which is capable of, but is not necessarily transmitting a usable ranging signal.

PDOP Availability: Defined to be the percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the PDOP value is less than or equal to its threshold for any point within the service volume.

Positioning Accuracy: Defined to be the statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

- **Horizontal Positioning Accuracy:** Defined to be the statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between horizontal position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.
- **Vertical Positioning Accuracy:** Defined to be the statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between vertical position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

Position Solution: An estimate of a user's location derived from ranging signal measurements and navigation data from GPS.

Position Solution Geometry: The set of direction cosines that define the instantaneous relationship of each satellite's ranging signal vector to each of the position solution coordinate axes.

Pseudo Random Noise (PRN): A binary sequence that appears to be random over a specified time interval unless the shift register configuration and initial conditions for generating the sequence are known. Each satellite generates a unique PRN sequence that is effectively uncorrelated (orthogonal) to any other satellite's code over the integration time constant of a receiver's code tracking loop.

Representative SPS Receiver: The minimum signal reception and processing assumptions employed by the U.S. Government to characterize SPS performance in accordance with performance standards defined in Section 3 of the SPS Performance Standard. Representative SPS receiver capability assumptions are identified in Section 2.2 of the SPS Performance Standard.

Right Ascension of Ascending Node (RAAN): Equatorial angle from the celestial principal direction to the ascending node.

Root Mean Square (RMS) SIS URE: A statistic that represents instantaneous SIS URE performance in an RMS sense over some sample interval. The statistic can be for an individual satellite or for the entire constellation. The sample interval for URE assessment used in the SPS Performance Standard is 24 hours.

Selective Availability: Protection technique formerly employed to deny full system accuracy to unauthorized users. SA was discontinued effective midnight May 1, 2000.

Service Availability: Defined to be the percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% positioning error is less than its threshold for any given point within the service volume.

- **Horizontal Service Availability:** Defined to be the percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% horizontal error is less than its threshold for any point within the service volume.
- **Vertical Service Availability:** Defined to be the percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% vertical error is less than its threshold for any point within the service volume.

Service Degradation: A condition over a time interval during which one or more SPS performance standards are not supported.

Service Failure: A condition over a time interval during which a healthy GPS satellite's ranging signal exceeds the Not-to-Exceed (NTE) SPS SIS URE tolerance.

Service Reliability: The percentage of time over a specified time interval that the instantaneous SIS SPS URE is maintained within a specified reliability threshold at any given point within the service volume, for all healthy GPS satellites.

Service Volume: The spatial volume supported by SPS performance standards. Specifically, the SPS Performance Standard supports the terrestrial service volume. The terrestrial service volume covers from the surface of the Earth up to an altitude of 3,000 kilometers.

SPS Performance Envelope: The range of nominal variation in specified aspects of SPS performance.

SPS Performance Standard: A quantifiable minimum level for a specified aspect of GPS SPS performance. SPS performance standards are defined in Section 3.0.

SPS Ranging Signal: An electromagnetic signal originating from an operational satellite. The SPS ranging signal consists of a Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) C/A code, a timing reference and sufficient data to support the position solution generation process. A description of the GPS SPS signal is provided in Section 2. The formal definition of the SPS ranging signal is provided in ICDGPS-200C.

SPS Ranging Signal Measurement: The difference between the ranging signal time of reception (as determined by the receiver's clock) and the time of transmission derived from the navigation signal (as defined by the satellite's clock) multiplied by the speed of light. Also known as the *pseudo range*.

SPS SIS User Range Error (URE) Statistic:

- A satellite SPS SIS URE statistic is defined to be the Root Mean Square (RMS) difference between SPS ranging signal measurements (neglecting user clock bias and errors due to propagation environment and receiver), and “true” ranges between the satellite and an SPS user at any point within the service volume over a specified time interval.
- A constellation SPS SIS URE statistic is defined to be the average of all satellite SPS SIS URE statistics over a specified time interval.

Time Transfer Accuracy Relative to UTC (USNO): The difference at a 95% probability between user UTC time estimates and UTC (USNO) at any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

Transient Behavior: Short-term behavior not consistent with steady-state expectations.

Usable SPS Ranging Signal: An SPS ranging signal that can be received, processed, and used in a position solution by a receiver with representative SPS receiver capabilities.

User Navigation Error (UNE): Given a sufficiently stationary and ergodic satellite constellation ranging error behavior over a minimum sample interval, multiplication of the DOP and a constellation ranging error standard deviation value will yield an approximation of the RMS position error. This RMS approximation is known as the UNE (UHNE for horizontal, UVNE for vertical, and so on). The user is cautioned that any divergence away from the stationary and ergodic assumptions will cause the UNE to diverge from a RMS value based on actual measurements.

User Range Accuracy (URA): A conservative representation of each satellite’s expected (1ó)
SIS URE performance (excluding residual group delay) based on historical data. A URA value is provided that is representative over the curve fit interval of the navigation data from which the URA is read. The URA is a coarse representation of the URE statistic in that it is quantized to levels represented in ICDGPS200C.