

**Global Positioning System (GPS)
Standard Positioning Service (SPS)
Performance Analysis Report**

Submitted To

**Federal Aviation Administration
GPS Product Team
1284 Maryland Avenue SW
Washington, DC 20024**

Report #82

July 31, 2013

Reporting Period: 1 April – 30 June 2013

Submitted by

**William J. Hughes Technical Center
NSTB/WAAS T&E Team
Atlantic City International Airport, NJ 08405**

Executive Summary

The GPS Product Team has tasked the Navigation Branch at the William J. Hughes Technical Center to document the Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Positioning Service (SPS) performance in quarterly GPS Performance Analysis (PAN) Reports. The report contains the analysis performed on data collected at twenty-eight Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) Reference Stations. This analysis verifies the GPS SPS performance as compared to the performance parameters stated in the SPS Specification (September 2008).

This report, Report #82, includes data collected from 1 April through 30 June 2013. The next quarterly report will be issued October 31, 2013.

Analysis of this data includes the following standards and categories: PDOP Availability, NANU Summary and Evaluation, Service Availability, Position and Range Accuracy and Solar Storm Effects on GPS SPS performance.

PDOP availability is based on Position Dilution of Precision (PDOP). Utilizing the weekly almanac posted on the US Coast Guard navigation web site, the coverage for every 5° grid point between 180W to 180E and 80S and 80N was calculated for every minute over a 24-hour period for each of the weeks covered in the reporting period. For this reporting period, the global availability based on PDOP less than six for CONUS was 100%.

NANU summary and evaluation was achieved by reviewing the “Notice: Advisory to Navstar Users” (NANU) reports issued between 1 April and 30 June 2013. Using this data, we compute a set of statistics that give a relative idea of constellation health for both the current and combined history of past quarters. A total of five outages were reported in the NANU’s this quarter. Four outages were scheduled while one was unscheduled.

The quarterly service availability standard was verified using 24-hour position accuracy values computed from data collected at one-second intervals. All of the sites achieved a 100% availability, which exceeds the SPS “average location” value of 99% and the “worst-case location” value of 90%.

Calculating the 24-hour 95% horizontal and vertical position error values verified the accuracy standards. The User Range Error standard was verified for each satellite from 24-hour accuracy values computed using data collected at the following six sites: Boston, Honolulu, Los Angeles, Miami, San Juan and Juneau. This data was also collected in one-second samples. All sites achieved 100% reliability, meeting the SPS specification. The maximum range error recorded was 22.779 meters on Satellite PRN 9. The SPS specification states that the range error should never exceed 30 meters for less than 99.79% of the day for a worst-case point and 99.94% globally. The maximum RMS range error value of 2.345 was recorded on satellite PRN 28. The SPS specification states that RMS URE cannot exceed 6 meters in any 24-hour interval.

Geomagnetic storms had little to no effect on GPS performance this quarter. All sites met all GPS Standard Positioning Service (SPS) specifications on those days with the most significant solar activity.

The IGS is a voluntary federation of many worldwide agencies that pool resources and permanent GNSS station data to generate precise GNSS products. During the evaluation period, the maximum 95% horizontal and vertical SPS errors were 8.46 meters at Santiago, Chile and 7.90 meters at Dededo, Guam respectively.

From the analysis performed on data collected between 1 April and 30 June 2013, the GPS performance met all SPS requirements that were evaluated. No GPS issues were noted this quarter.

Table of Contents

List of Figures	4
List of Tables	5
1 Introduction.....	6
1.1 Objective of GPS SPS Performance Analysis Report.....	6
1.2 Report Overview	7
1.3 Summary of Performance Requirements and Metrics	7
2 PDOP Availability Standard	12
3 NANU Summary and Evaluation	15
3.1 Satellite Outages from NANU Reports.....	15
3.2 Service Availability Standard	17
4 Service Reliability Standard.....	19
5 Accuracy Standard	20
5.1 Position Accuracy	21
5.2 Time Transfer Accuracy	23
5.3 Range Domain Accuracy	24
6 Solar Storms.....	30
7 IGS Data.....	33
8 GPS Test NOTAMs Summary.....	37
8.1 GPS Test NOTAMs Issued.....	37
8.2 Tracking and Trending of GPS Test NOTAMs	37
8.3 GPS Availability	40
9 Appendices.....	44
9.1 Appendix A: Performance Summary	44
9.2 Appendix B: Geomagnetic Data	47
9.3 Appendix C: Performance Analysis (PAN) Problem Report.....	49
9.4 Appendix D: Glossary.....	50

List of Figures

Figure 2-1 World GPS Maximum PDOP 13

Figure 2-2 Satellite Visibility Profile for Worst-Case Point..... 14

Figure 5-1 Global Vertical Error Histogram..... 22

Figure 5-2 Global Horizontal Error Histogram..... 22

Figure 5-3 Time Transfer Error 23

Figure 5-4 Distribution of Daily Max Range Errors..... 27

Figure 5-5 Distribution of Daily Max Range Rate Errors..... 27

Figure 5-6 Distribution of Daily max Range Acceleration Errors..... 28

Figure 5-7 Range Error Histogram 28

Figure 5-8 Maximum Range Error Per Satellite 29

Figure 5-9 Maximum Range Rate Error Per Satellite..... 29

Figure 5-10 Maximum Range Acceleration Error Per Satellite..... 29

Figure 6-1 K-Index for 27-29 June 2013 31

Figure 6-2 K-Index for 31 May-2 June 2013 31

Figure 6-3 K-Index for 6-8 June 2013 31

Figure 7-1 Selected IGS Site Locations..... 34

Figure 7-2 GPS SPS 95% Horizontal Accuracy Trends at Selected IGS Sites..... 35

Figure 7-3 GPS SPS 95% Vertical Accuracy Trends at Selected IGS Sites..... 35

Figure 7-4 Example Receiver Tracking Problem 36

Figure 7-5 Example Receiver Tracking Problem 36

Figure 8-1 GPS Test NOTAMs @ FL400 38

Figure 8-2 GPS NOTAMs @ FL250 38

Figure 8-3 GPS NOTAMs @ 10k Feet..... 39

Figure 8-4 GPS NOTAMs @ 4k Feet..... 39

Figure 8-5 GPS NOTAMs @ 50 Feet..... 39

List of Tables

Table 1-1 SPS SIS Performance Requirements Standards	8
Table 2-1 PDOP Availability Statistics	12
Table 3-1 NANUs Affecting Satellite Availability.....	15
Table 3-2 NANUs Forecasted to Affect Satellite Availability	16
Table 3-3 Cancelled NANUs	16
Table 3-4 GPS Satellite Maintenance Statistics.....	16
Table 3-5 Accuracies Exceeding Threshold Statistics.....	18
Table 4-1 User Range Error Accuracy.....	19
Table 5-1 Horizontal & Vertical Accuracy Statistics for the Quarter.....	21
Table 5-2 Range Error Statistics	24
Table 5-3 Range Rate Error Statistics.....	25
Table 5-4 Range Acceleration Error Statistics.....	26
Table 6-1 Horizontal & Vertical Accuracy Statistics for June 29, 2013.....	32
Table 7-1 Selected IGS Site Information.....	33
Table 7-2 GPS SPS Performance at Selected High Rate IGS Sites	34
Table 8-1 GPS test NOTAM Durations.....	37
Table 8-2 GPS Test NOTAM Affected Areas (Square Miles) by Altitude	37
Table 8-3 NOTAM Impact to GPS Availability	40
Table 9-1 Performance Summary	44

1 Introduction

1.1 Objective of GPS SPS Performance Analysis Report

In 1993, the FAA began monitoring and analyzing Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Positioning Service (SPS) performance data. At present, the FAA has approved GPS and WAAS for IFR operations and is developing Local Area Augmentation (LAAS), which is an additional GPS augmentation system. In order to ensure the safe and effective use of GPS and its augmentation systems within the NAS, it is critical that characteristics of GPS performance as well as specific causes for service outages be monitored and understood. To accomplish this objective, GPS SPS performance data is documented in a quarterly GPS Analysis report. This report contains data collected at the following twenty-eight WAAS reference station locations:

- Bethel, AK
- Billings, MT
- Fairbanks, AK
- Cold Bay, AK
- Kotzebue, AK
- Juneau, AK
- Albuquerque, NM
- Anchorage, AK
- Boston, MA
- Washington, D.C.
- Honolulu, HI
- Houston, TX
- Kansas city, KS
- Los Angeles, CA
- Salt Lake City, UT
- Miami, FL
- Minneapolis, MI
- Oakland, CA
- Cleveland, OH
- Seattle, WA
- San Juan, PR
- Atlanta, GA
- Barrow, AK
- Merida, Mexico
- Gander, Canada
- Tapachula, Mexico
- San Jose Del Cabo, Mexico
- Iqaluit, Canada

The analysis of the data is divided into the four performance categories stated in the Standard Positioning Service Performance Specification (September 2008). These categories are:

- PDOP Availability Standard
- Service Availability Standard
- Service Reliability Standard
- Positioning, Ranging and Timing Accuracy Standard

The results were then compared to the performance parameters stated in the SPS.

1.2 Report Overview

Section 2 of this report summarizes the results obtained from the coverage calculation program developed by the GPS test team. The SPS coverage area program uses the GPS satellite almanacs to compute each satellite position as a function of time for a selected day of the week. This program establishes a 5-degree grid between 180 degrees east and 180 degrees west, and from 80 degrees north and 80 degrees south. The program then computes the PDOP at each grid point (1485 total grid points) every minute for the entire day and stores the results. After the PDOP's have been saved the 99.99% index of 1-minute PDOP at each grid point is determined and plotted as contour lines (Figure 2-1). The program also saves the number of satellites used in PDOP calculation at each grid point for analysis.

Section 3 summarizes the GPS constellation performance by providing the "Notice: Advisory to Navstar Users" (NANU) messages to calculate the total time of forecasted and actual satellite outages. This section also evaluates the Service Availability Standard using 24-hour 95% horizontal and vertical position accuracy values.

Section 4 summarizes service reliability performance. It will be reported at the end of the first year of this analysis because the SPS standard is based on a measurement interval of one year. Data for the quarter is provided for completeness.

Section 5 provides the position accuracies based on data collected on a daily basis at one-second intervals. This section also provides the statistics on the range error, range error rate and range acceleration error for each satellite. The overall average, maximum, minimum and standard deviations of the range rates and accelerations are tabulated for each satellite.

In Section 6, the data collected during solar storms is analyzed to determine the effects, if any, of GPS SPS performance.

Section 7 provides an analysis of GPS-SPS accuracy performance from a selection of high rate IGS stations around the world.

Section 8 provides a summary of GPS Test NOTAMs.

Section 9 provides four appendices to summarize the data found in this report and provide further information.

Appendix A provides a summary of all the results as compared to the SPS specification.

Appendix B provides the geomagnetic data used for Section 6.

Appendix C provides a PAN Problem Report.



Appendix D provides a glossary of terms used in this PAN report. This glossary was obtained directly from the GPS SPS specification document (September 2008).






1.3 Summary of Performance Requirements and Metrics







Table 1-1 over the next four pages lists the performance parameters from the SPS and identifies those parameters verified in this report.

Table 1-1 SPS SIS Performance Requirements Standards

Per-Satellite Coverage	Conditions and Constraints	Evaluated in This Report
Terrestrial Service Volume: 100% Coverage Space Service Volume: No Coverage Performance Specified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For any health or marginal SPS SIS 	Future Report
Constellation Coverage	Conditions and Constraints	
Terrestrial Service Volume: 100% Coverage Space Service Volume: No Coverage Performance Specified	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For any healthy or marginal SPS SIS 	Future Report
User Range Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints	
Single Frequency C/A-Code <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ≤ 7.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations over All AODs ≤ 6.0m 95% Global Average URE during operations at Zero AOD ≤ 12.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations at Any AOD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For any healthy SPS SIS Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1 	✓
Single Frequency C/A-Code <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ≤ 30m 99.94% Global Average URE during normal operations ≤ 30m 99.79% Worst Case single point average during normal operations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For any healthy SPS SIS. Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1 Standard based on measurement interval of one year; average of daily values within service volume Standard based on 3 service failures per year, lasting no more than 6 hours each 	✓
User Range Rate Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ≤ 6 mm/sec 95% Global Average URRE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For any healthy SPS SIS Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors 	✓

User Range Acceleration Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints	Evaluated in This Report
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • $\leq 2 \text{ mm/sec}^2$ 95% Global average URAE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors 	
Coordinated Universal Time Offset Error Accuracy		
• ≤ 40 nanoseconds 95% Global average UTCOE during normal operations at Any AOD.	• For any healthy SPS SIS	
Instantaneous URE Integrity	Conditions and Constraints	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • $\leq 1 \times 10^{-5}$ Probability over any hour of the SPS SIS Instantaneous URE exceeding the NTE tolerance without a timely alert during normal operations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance defined to be ± 4.42 times the upper bound on the URA value corresponding to the URA index “N” currently broadcast by the satellite. • Given that the maximum SPS SIS instantaneous URE did not exceed the NTE tolerance at the start of the hour • Worst case for delayed alert is 6 hours. • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors 	Future Report
Instantaneous UTCOE Integrity	Conditions and Constraints	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: • $\leq 1 \times 10^{-5}$ Probability over any hour of the SPS SIS Instantaneous UTCOE exceeding the NTE tolerance without a timely alert during normal operations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • SPS SIS URE NTE tolerance defined 	Future Report
Unscheduled Failure Interruption Continuity	Conditions and Constraints	
Unscheduled Failure Interruptions: • ≥ 0.9998 Probability over any hour of not losing the SPS SIS availability from a slot due to unscheduled interruption	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually • Given that the SPS SIS is available from the slot at the start of the hour 	Future Report

Status and Problem Reporting	Conditions and Constraints	Evaluated in This Report
Scheduled event affecting service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA at least 48 hours prior to the event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any SPS SIS 	
Unscheduled outage or problem affecting service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA as soon as possible after the event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any SPS SIS 	
Per-Slot Availability	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 0.957 Probability that a slot in the baseline 24-slot configuration will be occupied by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS • ≥ 0.957 Probability that a slot in the expanded configuration will be occupied by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a health SPS SIS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually • Applies to satellites broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS that also satisfy the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard. 	
Constellation Availability	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 0.98 Probability that at least 21 slots out of the 24 will be occupied either by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the baseline 24-slot configuration or by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the expanded slot configuration • ≥ 0.99999 Probability that at least 20 slots out of the 24 will be occupied either by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the baseline 24-slot configuration or by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the expanded slot configuration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually. • Applies to satellites broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS that also satisfies the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard. 	
Operational Satellite Count	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 0.95 Probability that the constellation will have at least 24 operational satellites regardless of whether those operational satellites are located in slots or not 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applies to the total number of operational satellites in the constellation (averaged over any day); where any satellite which appears in the transmitted navigation message almanac is defined to be an operation satellite regardless of whether that satellite is currently broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS or not and regardless of whether the broadcast SPS SIS also satisfies the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard or not. 	

PDOP Availability	Conditions and Constraints	Evaluated in This Report
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $\geq 98\%$ global PDOP of 6 or less • $\geq 88\%$ worst site PDOP of 6 or less 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval 	
Service Availability	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $\geq 99\%$ Horizontal Service Availability, average location • $\geq 99\%$ Vertical Service Availability, average location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval. 	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $\geq 90\%$ Horizontal Service Availability, worst-case location • $\geq 90\%$ Vertical Service Availability, worst-case location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval. 	
Position/Time Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints	
<p>Global Average Position Domain Accuracy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $\leq 9\text{m}$ 95% Horizontal Error • $\leq 15\text{m}$ 95% Vertical Error 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume. 	
<p>Worst Site Position Domain Accuracy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • $\leq 17\text{m}$ 95% Horizontal Error • $\leq 37\text{m}$ 95% Vertical Error 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume. 	
<p>Time Transfer Domain Accuracy</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 40 nanoseconds time transfer error 95% of time (SIS only) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a time transfer solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume. 	

2 PDOP Availability Standard

PDOP Availability: The percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the PDOP value is less than or equal to its threshold for any point within the service volume.

Dilution of Precision (DOP): The magnifying effect on GPS position error induced by mapping GPS range errors into position within the specified coordinate system through the geometry of the position solution. The DOP varies as a function of satellite positions relative to user position. The DOP may be represented in any user local coordinate desired. Examples are HDOP for local horizontal, VDOP for local vertical, PDOP for all three coordinates, and TDOP for time.

PDOP Availability Standard	Conditions and Constraints
<p>≥ 98% global PDOP of 6 or less</p> <p>≥ 88% worst site PDOP of 6 or less</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval

Almanacs for GPS weeks used for this coverage portion of the report were obtained from the Coast Guard web site (www.navcen.uscg.mil). Using these almanacs, an SPS coverage area program developed by the GPS test team was used to calculate the PDOP at every 5° point between longitudes of 180W to 180E and 80S and 80N at one-minute intervals. This gives a total of 1440 samples for each of the 2376 grid points in the coverage area. Table 2-1 provides the global averages and worst-case availability over a 24-hour period for each week. Table 2-1 also gives the global 99.9% PDOP value for each of the thirteen GPS Weeks. The PDOP was 2.925 or better 99.9% of the time for each of the 24-hour intervals.

Figure 2-1 is a contour plot of PDOP values over the entire globe. Inside each contour area, the PDOP value is greater than or equal to the contour value shown in the legend for that color line. That areas' value is also less than the next higher contour value, unless another contour line lies within the current area. A single "DOP hole" where the PDOP value is greater than 6 was evaluated for satellite visibility for one 24-hour interval from the week shaded in Table 2-1. The histogram in figure 2-2 shows the satellite visibility at the DOP hole position for the 24 hour interval in question.

The GPS coverage performance evaluated met the specifications stated in the SPS.

Table 2-1 PDOP Availability Statistics

Date Range of Week	Global 99.9% PDOP Value	Global Average (Spec: ≥ 98%)	Worst-Case Point (Spec: ≥ 88%)
31 Mar – 6 April	2.884	100%	100%
7 – 13 April	2.888	100%	100%
14 – 20 April	2.896	100%	100%
21 – 27 April	2.901	100%	100%
28 April – 4 May	2.905	100%	100%
5 – 11 May	2.908	100%	100%
12 – 18 May	2.879	100%	100%
19 – 25 May	2.883	100%	100%
26 May – 1 June	2.882	100%	100%
2 – 8 June	2.889	100%	100%
9 – 15 June	2.910	100%	100%
16 – 22 June	2.925	100%	100%
23 – 29 June	2.923	100%	100%

Figure 2-1 World GPS Maximum PDOP

05/10/13 World GPS Maximum PDOP





Figure 2-2 Satellite Visibility Profile for Worst-Case Point



3 NANU Summary and Evaluation

NANU: Notice Advisory to NAVSTAR Uusers – A periodic bulletin alerting users to changes in the satellite system performance.

Status and Problem Reporting	Conditions and Constraints
Scheduled event affecting service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA at least 48 hours prior to the event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For any SPS SIS
Unscheduled outage or problem affecting service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA as soon as possible after the event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For any SPS SIS

3.1 Satellite Outages from NANU Reports

Satellite availability performance was analyzed based on published “Notice: Advisory to Navstar Users” messages (NANU’s). During this reporting period, 1 April through 30 June 2013, there were a total of five reported outages. Four of these outages were maintenance activities and were reported in advance while one was unscheduled. A complete listing of outage NANU’s for the reporting period is provided in Table 3-1. A complete listing of the forecasted outage NANU’s for the reporting period can be found in Table 3-2. Canceled outage NANU’s (if any) are provided in Table 3-3. The minimum duration a scheduled outage was forecasted ahead of time was 140.223 hours. The response time meet the 48-hour requirement. The maximum response time for a NANU issued for an unscheduled outage was 0 hours, meaning the single UNUSUFN NANU was issued before the outage.

Table 3-1 NANUs Affecting Satellite Availability

NANU#	PRN	TYPE	Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time	Total Unscheduled	Total Scheduled	Total
2013024	18	FCSTSUMM	4-Apr-13	11:53	4-Apr-13	17:22		5.48	5.48
2013026	8	FCSTSUMM	11-Apr-13	23:42	12-Apr-13	6:28		6.77	6.77
2013030	25	FCSTSUMM	10-May-13	3:57	10-May-13	8:49		4.87	4.87
2013033	4	FCSTSUMM	12-Jun-13	14:47	12-Jun-13	19:48		5.02	5.02
2013037	3	UNUSABLE	30-Jun-13	16:34	30-Jun-13	17:13	0.65		0.65
Totals of Unscheduled, Scheduled & Total Downtime							0.65	22.14	22.79

GENERAL NANUs

NANU 2013029 stated that the L-band signal would resume transmitting from PRN30 (SVN 49) on May 8, 2013. The satellite would not be included in the almanac.

NANU 2013034 stated that the testing would commence on the CNAV capabilities of L2C and L5 signals.

NANU 2013038 stated that the testing on the CNAV capabilities of L2C and L5 signals was complete.

Table 3-2 NANUs Forecasted to Affect Satellite Availability

NANU #	PRN	Type	Start Date	Start Time	End Date	End Time	Total	Comments
2013023	18	FCSTDV	4-Apr	11:15	4-Apr	23:15	12	2013024
2013025	8	FCSTDV	11-Apr	23:30	12-Apr	11:30	12	2013026
2013028	25	FCSTDV	10-May	3:30	10-May	15:30	12	2013030
2013032	4	FCSTDV	12-Jun	14:30	13-Jun	2:30	12	2013033
2013036	3	UNUSUFN	30-Jun	16:34				2013037
Total Forecasted Downtime							48	

Table 3-3 Cancelled NANUs

NANU#	PRN	Type	Start Date	Start Time	Comments
None					

Satellite Reliability, Maintainability, and Availability (RMA) data is being collected based on published “Notice: Advisory to Navstar Users” messages (NANU’s). This data has been summarized in Table 3-4. The “Total Satellite Observed MTTR” was calculated by taking the average downtime of all satellite outage occurrences. Scheduled downtime was forecasted in advance via NANU’s. All other downtime reported via NANU was considered unscheduled. The “Percent Operational” was calculated based on the ratio of total actual operating hours to total available operating hours for every satellite.

Table 3-4 GPS Satellite Maintenance Statistics

Satellite Reliability/Maintainability/Availability (RMA) Parameter	1-Apr-13 30-Jun-13	1-Jan-00 30-Jun-13
Total Forecast Downtime (hrs):	48	9792.82
Total Actual Downtime (hrs):	22.79	38015.54
Total Actual Scheduled Downtime (hrs):	22.14	5774.02
Total Actual Unscheduled Downtime (hrs):	0.65	32241.52
Total Satellite Observed MTTR (hrs):	4.56	50.76
Scheduled Satellite Observed MTTR (hrs):	5.54	9.79
Unscheduled Satellite Observed MTTR (hrs):	0.65	202.78
# Total Satellite Outages:	5	749
# Scheduled Satellite Outages:	4	590
# Unscheduled Satellite Outages:	1	159
Percent Operational -- Scheduled Downtime:	99.97	99.84
Percent Operational -- All Downtime:	99.97	98.96

3.2 Service Availability Standard

Service Availability: The percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% position error is less than the threshold at any given point within the service volume.

- **Horizontal Service Availability:** The percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% horizontal error is less than its threshold for any point within the service volume.
- **Vertical Service Availability:** The percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% vertical error is less than its threshold for any point within the service volume.

Service Availability Standard	Conditions and Constraints
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 99% Horizontal Service Availability, average location • ≥ 99% Vertical Service Availability, average location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 90% Horizontal Service Availability, worst-case location • ≥ 90% Vertical Service Availability, worst-case location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

To verify availability, the data collected from receivers at the twenty-eight WAAS sites was reduced to calculate 24-hour accuracy information and reported in Table 3-5. The data was collected at one-second intervals between 1 April and 30 June 2013.

Table 3-5 Accuracies Exceeding Threshold Statistics

Site	Total Number of Seconds of SPS Monitoring	Instances of 24-hour Threshold Failures	Quarters Service Availability %
Albuquerque	7859353	0	100%
Anchorage	7856970	0	100%
Atlanta	7859343	0	100%
Barrow	7858995	0	100%
Bethel	7858455	0	100%
Billings	7859266	0	100%
Boston	7859240	0	100%
Cleveland	7857485	0	100%
Cold Bay	7844130	0	100%
Fairbanks	7856196	0	100%
Gander	7859350	0	100%
Honolulu	7083542	0	100%
Houston	7859354	0	100%
Iqaluit	7855199	0	100%
Juneau	7855890	0	100%
Kansas City	7857940	0	100%
Kotzebue	7859034	0	100%
Los Angeles	7859310	0	100%
Merida	7855798	0	100%
Miami	7857966	0	100%
Minneapolis	7859353	0	100%
Oakland	7857526	0	100%
Salt Lake City	7856261	0	100%
San Jose Del Cabo	7856919	0	100%
San Juan	7859341	0	100%
Seattle	7857981	0	100%
Tapachula	7856057	0	100%
Washington, DC	7859063	0	100%
Global Average over Reporting Period = 100% (SPS Spec. > 95.87%)			

4 Service Reliability Standard

Service Reliability: The percentage of time over a specific time interval that the instantaneous SIS SPS URE is maintained within a specified reliability threshold at any given point within the service volume, for all healthy GPS satellites.

User Range Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints
Single Frequency C/A-Code <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 30m 99.94% Global Average URE during normal operations • ≤ 30m 99.79% Worst Case single point average during normal operations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS. • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1 • Standard based on measurement interval of one year; average of daily values within service volume • Standard based on 3 service failures per year, lasting no more than 6 hours each

Table 4-1 shows a comparison to the service reliability standard for range data collected at a set of six receivers across North America. Although the specification calls for yearly evaluations, we will be evaluating this SPS requirement at quarterly intervals. Additional range analysis results can be found in table 5-2. The maximum User Range Error recorded this quarter was 22.779 meters on satellite PRN 9.

Table 4-1 User Range Error Accuracy

Date Range of Data Collection	Site	Number of Samples This Quarter	Number of Samples where SPS URE > 30m NTE	Percentage
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2013	Boston	65,409,944	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2013	Honolulu	62,368,598	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2013	Los Angeles	66,636,079	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2013	Miami	65,127,165	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2013	Merida	66,766,537	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2013	Juneau	66,412,604	0	100%
1 Apr – 30 Jun 2013	Global	392,720,927	0	100%

5 Accuracy Standard

<p>Positioning Accuracy: The statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Horizontal Positioning Accuracy: The statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between horizontal position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval. • Vertical Positioning Accuracy: The statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between vertical position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

Position/Time Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints
Global Average Position Domain Accuracy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 9m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 15m 95% Vertical Error 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.
Worst Site Position Domain Accuracy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 17m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 37m 95% Vertical Error 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.
Time Transfer Domain Accuracy (SIS only) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 40 nanoseconds time transfer error 95% of time 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a time transfer solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume.

User Range Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints
Single Frequency C/A-Code <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 7.8m 9% Global Average URE during normal operations over All AODs • ≤ 6.0m 95% Global Average URE during operations at Zero AOD • ≤ 12.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations at Any AOD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 6 mm/sec 95% Global Average URRE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 2 mm/sec² 95% Global average URAE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors
Coordinated Universal Time Offset Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 40 nanoseconds 95% Global average UTCOE during normal operations at Any AOD. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS

5.1 Position Accuracy

The data used for this section was collected for every second from 1 April through 30 June 2013 at the selected WAAS locations. Table 5-1 provides the 95% and 99.99% horizontal and vertical error accuracies for the quarter. Every twenty-four hour analysis period this quarter passed both the worst-case and global position accuracy requirements set forth by the SPS specification.

Table 5-1 Horizontal & Vertical Accuracy Statistics for the Quarter

Site	95% Vertical (Meters)	95% Horizontal (Meters)	99.99% Vertical (Meters)	99.99% Horizontal (Meters)
Albuquerque	4.215	2.703	9.920	5.150
Anchorage	3.528	3.032	7.831	5.730
Atlanta	4.170	2.831	11.132	5.470
Barrow	4.049	2.643	9.036	5.424
Bethel	3.651	2.970	7.863	5.724
Billings	3.778	2.067	8.280	5.254
Boston	3.860	2.494	8.598	6.343
Cleveland	3.867	2.359	8.118	6.442
Cold Bay	3.965	2.407	8.905	6.676
Fairbanks	3.665	3.025	7.397	6.141
Gander	3.520	2.547	9.686	6.664
Honolulu	5.931	5.992	17.730	12.751
Houston	4.661	3.399	12.215	7.486
Iqaluit	3.904	2.176	7.621	4.228
Juneau	3.573	2.825	8.334	5.194
Kansas City	3.975	2.252	8.788	4.373
Kotzebue	3.778	3.148	7.851	6.069
Los Angeles	4.423	3.116	10.988	6.311
Merida	5.470	4.400	15.180	9.306
Miami	5.099	3.876	12.900	7.821
Minneapolis	3.734	2.126	8.710	5.692
Oakland	4.431	2.788	10.270	5.950
Salt Lake City	3.921	2.212	8.866	5.609
San Jose Del Cabo	5.641	4.695	14.533	10.148
San Juan	5.394	3.627	15.111	8.549
Seattle	3.824	2.091	9.289	5.450
Tapachula	6.126	4.494	16.758	10.201
Washington, DC	3.962	2.513	8.865	8.075

Figures 5-1 and 5-2 are the combined histograms of the vertical and horizontal errors for all twenty-eight WAAS sites from 1 April to 30 June 2013.

Figure 5-1 Global Vertical Error Histogram






Figure 5-2 Global Horizontal Error Histogram



5.2 Time Transfer Accuracy

The GPS time error data between 1 April and 30 June 2013 was downloaded from USNO Internet site. The USNO data file contains the time difference between the USNO master clock and GPS system time for each GPS satellites during the time period. Over 10,000 samples of GPS time error are contained in the USNO data file. In order to evaluate the GPS time transfer error, the data file was used to create a histogram (Fig 5-3) to represent the distribution of GPS time error. The histogram was created by taking the absolute value of time difference between the USNO master clock and GPS system time, then creating data bins with one nanosecond precision. The number of samples in each bin was then plotted to form the histogram in Fig 5-3. The mean, standard deviation, and 95% index are within the requirements of GPS SPS time error.

Figure 5-3 Time Transfer Error



5.3 Range Domain Accuracy

Tables 5-3 through 5-5 provide the statistical data for the range error, range rate error and the range acceleration error for each satellite. This data was collected between 1 April and 30 June 2013. A weighted average filter was used for the calculation of the range rate error and the range acceleration error. All Range Domain SPS specifications were met.

Table 5-2 Range Error Statistics

(Meters)

PRN	RMS Range Error (≤ 6 m)	Range Error Mean	1 σ	95% Range Error	Max Range Error (SPS Spec. ≤ 30 m)	Samples
1	1.704	-0.046	1.486	3.279	12.666	13438688
2	1.768	-0.149	1.497	3.370	14.878	14036643
3	2.121	0.124	1.684	3.868	17.384	11902317
4	2.121	-0.639	1.649	3.918	15.588	13144544
5	1.989	-0.858	1.622	3.604	13.008	13198486
6	1.969	-0.172	1.637	3.725	14.366	13261144
7	2.271	-1.262	1.567	3.967	11.893	12500075
8	2.269	-0.226	1.754	4.125	12.188	12598147
9	2.318	-0.359	1.908	4.315	22.779	13149004
10	2.124	0.537	1.605	3.996	20.327	11935740
11	1.736	-0.106	1.468	3.280	20.546	12495296
12	2.008	-0.771	1.666	3.728	20.203	13688737
13	1.955	-0.683	1.568	3.505	16.952	12757298
14	1.653	0.132	1.441	3.242	18.278	14012342
15	1.715	-0.537	1.459	3.220	14.990	12471998
16	1.950	-0.379	1.599	3.653	14.541	12892215
17	2.318	-0.669	1.930	4.341	17.443	14088852
18	1.600	0.536	1.291	3.072	16.174	13169266
19	1.991	0.396	1.669	3.743	22.324	12059196
20	1.724	0.302	1.503	3.234	16.214	13919503
21	1.619	0.375	1.311	3.144	17.271	12568448
22	1.962	1.013	1.326	3.733	16.576	12530311
23	1.831	-0.506	1.452	3.351	15.256	12410801
24	2.248	-0.880	1.867	4.259	18.486	13752131
25	1.866	-0.585	1.586	3.546	12.257	13714667
26	2.070	-0.756	1.709	4.048	17.663	13262691
27	1.801	-1.128	1.254	3.232	8.263	1269586
28	2.345	-0.271	1.818	4.260	13.726	13268813
29	1.974	-0.736	1.601	3.791	15.392	12768807
31	1.910	-0.786	1.490	3.564	15.991	13471449
32	1.671	0.407	1.360	3.079	16.914	12983732

Table 5-3 Range Rate Error Statistics

(Millimeters/ Second)

PRN	Range Rate Error RMS	95% Range Rate Error	Max Range Rate Error	Samples
1	3.420	3.806	238.470	13438688
2	2.442	3.600	207.860	14036643
3	3.850	4.208	224.350	11902317
4	2.690	3.647	221.330	13144544
5	2.794	3.575	286.090	13198486
6	3.599	3.878	222.750	13261144
7	3.768	4.306	217.420	12500075
8	3.936	4.421	215.270	12598147
9	3.560	4.254	242.110	13149004
10	3.011	3.773	259.700	11935740
11	3.425	4.003	222.100	12495296
12	2.997	3.917	242.830	13688737
13	3.739	4.301	267.460	12757298
14	3.104	3.842	193.240	14012342
15	2.912	3.610	250.310	12471998
16	3.633	4.149	242.150	12892215
17	2.985	3.960	265.600	14088852
18	2.416	3.309	238.430	13169266
19	3.562	4.072	228.650	12059196
20	3.443	3.989	263.650	13919503
21	2.285	3.335	207.780	12568448
22	2.435	3.443	256.370	12530311
23	3.604	4.137	242.870	12410801
24	3.034	3.907	253.280	13752131
25	2.892	3.595	235.860	13714667
26	3.281	3.848	208.130	13262691
27	2.630	3.144	90.360	1269586
28	3.503	4.115	209.940	13268813
29	2.845	3.591	301.980	12768807
31	3.360	3.892	225.990	13471449
32	3.238	3.701	251.820	12983732

Table 5-4 Range Acceleration Error Statistics

(Micrometers/Second²)

PRN	Range Acceleration Error RMS ($\mu\text{m/s}^2$)	95% Range Acceleration Error ($\mu\text{m/s}^2$)	Max Range Acceleration Error ($\mu\text{m/s}^2$)	Samples
1	29.411	37.291	2400	13438688
2	19.487	28.604	2050	14036643
3	33.368	42.417	2210	11902317
4	22.548	29.595	2200	13144544
5	23.229	31.175	2820	13198486
6	31.426	40.219	2190	13261144
7	32.756	43.402	2150	12500075
8	34.190	42.693	2110	12598147
9	30.403	38.102	2390	13149004
10	25.150	33.333	2560	11935740
11	29.408	38.278	2180	12495296
12	25.430	33.591	2400	13688737
13	32.346	43.252	2650	12757298
14	26.417	34.515	1920	14012342
15	24.624	31.702	2490	12471998
16	31.499	42.080	2410	12892215
17	25.311	33.187	2640	14088852
18	20.065	27.596	2400	13169266
19	30.635	40.395	2250	12059196
20	29.655	40.025	2610	13919503
21	18.798	27.559	2070	12568448
22	20.329	29.226	2540	12530311
23	31.230	42.071	2390	12410801
24	26.223	34.292	2500	13752131
25	24.241	31.236	2340	13714667
26	27.897	32.981	2060	13262691
27	22.313	31.391	910	1269586
28	30.192	38.663	2100	13268813
29	23.491	29.990	2990	12768807
31	29.236	38.553	2230	13471449
32	28.152	37.782	2480	12983732

Figures 5-4, 5-5 and 5-6 are graphical representations of the distributions of the maximum range error, range rate error and range acceleration error for all satellites. The highest maximum range error occurred on satellite 9 with an error of 22.779 meters. Satellite 27 had the lowest maximum range error of 8.263 meters.

Figure 5-4 Distribution of Daily Max Range Errors




Figure 5-5 Distribution of Daily Max Range Rate Errors




Figure 5-6 Distribution of Daily max Range Acceleration Errors




Figure 5-7 Range Error Histogram



Figure 5-8 Maximum Range Error Per Satellite




Figure 5-9 Maximum Range Rate Error Per Satellite





Figure 5-10 Maximum Range Acceleration Error Per Satellite



6 Solar Storms

Solar storm activity is being monitored in order to assess the possible impact on GPS SPS performance. Solar activity is reported by the Space Weather Prediction Center (SWPC), a division of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). When storm activity is indicated, ionospheric delays of the GPS signal, satellite outages, position accuracy and availability will be analyzed.

The following article was taken from the SEC web site <http://swpc.noaa.gov>. It briefly explains some of the ideas behind the association of the aurora with geomagnetic activity and a bit about how the 'K-index' or 'K-factor' works.

The aurora is caused by the interaction of high-energy particles (usually electrons) with neutral atoms in the earth's upper atmosphere. These high-energy particles can 'excite' (by collisions) valence electrons that are bound to the neutral atom. The 'excited' electron can then 'de-excite' and return back to its initial, lower energy state, but in the process it releases a photon (a light particle). The combined effect of many photons being released from many atoms results in the aurora display that you see.

The details of how high energy particles are generated during geomagnetic storms constitute an entire discipline of space science in its own right. The basic idea, however, is that the Earth's magnetic field (let us say the 'geomagnetic field') is responding to an outwardly propagating disturbance from the Sun. As the geomagnetic field adjusts to this disturbance, various components of the Earth's field change form, releasing magnetic energy and thereby accelerating charged particles to high energies. These particles, being charged, are forced to stream along the geomagnetic field lines. Some end up in the upper part of the earth's neutral atmosphere and the auroral mechanism begins.

An instrument called a magnetometer may also measure the disturbance of the geomagnetic field. At NOAA's operations center magnetometer data is received from dozens of observatories in one-minute intervals. The data is received at or near to 'real-time' and allows NOAA to keep track of the current state of the geomagnetic conditions. In order to reduce the amount of data NOAA converts the magnetometer data into three-hourly indices, which give a quantitative, but less detailed measure of the level of geomagnetic activity. The K-index scale has a range from 0 to 9 and is directly related to the maximum amount of fluctuation (relative to a quiet day) in the geomagnetic field over a three-hour interval.

The K-index is therefore updated every three hours. The K-index is also necessarily tied to a specific geomagnetic observatory. For locations where there are no observatories, one can only estimate what the local K-index would be by looking at data from the nearest observatory, but this would be subject to some errors from time to time because geomagnetic activity is not always spatially homogenous.

Another item of interest is that the location of the aurora usually changes geomagnetic latitude as the intensity of the geomagnetic storm changes. The location of the aurora often takes on an 'oval-like' shape and is appropriately called the auroral oval.

Figures 6-1 through 6-3 show the K-index for three time periods with significant solar activity. Although there were other days with increased solar activity, these time periods were selected as examples. (See Appendix B for the actual geomagnetic data for this reporting period.)

Figure 6-1 K-Index for 27-29 June 2013




Figure 6-2 K-Index for 31 May-2 June 2013




Figure 6-3 K-Index for 6-8 June 2013




Table 6-1 shows the position accuracy information for the day corresponding to Figure 6-1. The GPS SPS performance met all requirements during all storms that occurred during this quarter.

Table 6-1 Horizontal & Vertical Accuracy Statistics for June 29, 2013

Site	95% Horizontal (Meters)	95% Vertical (Meters)	Maximum Horizontal (Meters)	Maximum Vertical (Meters)
Albuquerque	1.960	2.828	2.762	3.775
Anchorage	2.333	4.158	3.497	4.942
Atlanta	2.675	2.789	3.237	3.858
Barrow	1.773	3.836	2.334	4.868
Bethel	2.429	4.236	3.450	5.095
Billings	1.444	2.044	1.847	3.918
Boston	1.813	2.455	2.137	3.065
Cleveland	1.812	3.060	2.161	4.473
Cold Bay	2.049	4.567	3.385	5.382
Fairbanks	2.254	3.573	2.895	4.426
Gander	1.476	2.876	1.980	3.303
Honolulu	2.817	4.362	3.243	5.562
Houston	2.278	3.224	2.926	4.180
Iqaluit	1.406	3.561	1.875	4.625
Juneau	2.174	3.590	2.964	4.713
Kansas City	1.575	2.403	1.789	3.311
Kotzebue	2.312	3.834	3.186	4.516
Los Angeles	2.388	3.061	4.772	6.088
Merida	2.894	4.635	3.196	5.676
Miami	2.897	4.042	3.478	5.640
Minneapolis	1.577	2.153	2.092	2.888
Oakland	2.202	3.021	2.900	6.122
Salt Lake City	1.597	2.267	2.220	4.184
San Jose Del Cabo	2.749	4.782	3.127	8.152
San Juan	No Data	Available	Site	Down
Seattle	1.484	2.910	2.328	4.046
Tapachula	2.410	3.617	2.705	4.509
Washington, DC	1.998	2.705	2.586	3.419

7 IGS Data

GPS SPS accuracy performance was evaluated at a selection of high rate IGS stations⁽¹⁾. The IGS is a voluntary federation of many worldwide agencies that pool resources and permanent GNSS station data to generate precise GNSS products.

High data rate (1 Hz) sites with good availability that were outside of the WAAS service area, and provided a good geographic distribution have been selected. To facilitate differentiating between GPS accuracy issues and receiver tracking problems, an automatic data screening function excluded errors greater than 500 meters and or times when VDOP or HDOP were greater than 10. The remaining receiver tracking issues are still included in the processing and are forced into the 50.1 meter histogram bin and are believed to influence the outliers in the 99.99% statistics and are visible in the 95% accuracy trend plots.

High quality broadcast navigation data and Klobachar model data is created by voting across all available IGS high rate RINEX navigation data.

Table 7.1 and Figure 7-1 show the IGS site information and locations. Table 7.2 shows the GPS SPS Accuracy Performance observed at a selection of High Rate IGS sites. Figure 7-2 shows the 95% horizontal accuracy trends at these sites. Figure 7-3 shows the 95% vertical accuracy trends at these sites. A value of zero indicates no data.

Figure 7-4 and 7-5 show examples of a suspected receiver tracking issues. Figure 7-4 shows the 95% vertical accuracy outlier for NNOR on Day 122, Figure 7-5 shows the data that caused the 50.1 m 99.99% vertical accuracy histogram bin to be overloaded for SUTM. The SUTM data is from Day 127, the last day for which SUTM data was available for the remainder of the quarter.

(1) J.M. Dow, R.E. Neilan, G. Gendt, "The International GPS Service (IGS): Celebrating the 10th Anniversary and Looking to the Next Decade," Adv. Space Res. 36 vol. 36, no. 3, pp. 320-326, 2005. Doi: 10.1016/j.asr.2005.05.125

Table 7-1 Selected IGS Site Information

ID	City	Country
BOGT	Bogota	Columbia
GUAM	Dededo	Guam
IISC	Bangalore	India
KIRU	Kiruna	Sweden
KOUR	Kourou	French Guyana
MADR	Robledo	Spain
MAL2	Malindi	Kenya
MAS1	Maspalomas	Spain
MATE	Matera	Italy
MOBN	Obninsk	Russian Federation
NNOR	New Norcia	Australia
NRIL	Norilsk	Russian Federation
PETS	Petropavlovsk-Kamchatka	Russian Federation
POL2	Bishkek	Kyrghyzstan
SANT	Santiago	Chile
SUTM	Sutherland	South Africa
TIDB	Tidbinbilla	Australia
UNSA	Salta	Argentina
USUD	Usuda	Japan

Figure 7-1 Selected IGS Site Locations




Table 7-2 GPS SPS Performance at Selected High Rate IGS Sites

Site	95% Horizontal Error (m)	95% Vertical Error (m)	99.99% Horizontal Error (m)	99.99% Vertical Error (m)	Percent Data Available
BOGT	3.98	6.46	9.70	20.59	87.98%
GLPS	4.32	5.25	11.83	13.90	99.83%
GUAM	3.56	7.90	8.91	21.19	98.85%
IISC	3.34	7.40	7.90	20.09	78.30%
KIRU	2.54	4.26	10.85	18.78	77.48%
KOUR	4.80	6.11	9.42	16.21	81.94%
MAL2	4.33	6.24	10.56	17.16	92.33%
MAS1	6.14	5.71	19.57	15.90	74.11%
MATE	3.17	4.67	7.20	12.40	49.07%
MOBN	2.32	4.63	7.26	12.96	81.98%
NNOR	2.57	5.22	16.21	22.50	36.05%
NRIL	2.34	4.45	5.63	15.21	98.36%
PETS	2.60	5.54	6.69	10.90	99.96%
POL2	3.32	5.44	18.07	26.35	80.40%
SANT	8.46	6.64	15.11	20.06	9.91%
SUTM	2.01	4.01	39.44	50.01	28.49%
TIDB	2.31	3.99	5.11	13.06	99.55%
UNSA	5.13	6.02	14.70	25.89	97.66%
USUD	4.39	5.42	12.33	25.30	99.92%

Figure 7-2 GPS SPS 95% Horizontal Accuracy Trends at Selected IGS Sites




Figure 7-3 GPS SPS 95% Vertical Accuracy Trends at Selected IGS Sites




Figure 7-4 Example Receiver Tracking Problem





Figure 7-5 Example Receiver Tracking Problem



8 GPS Test NOTAMs Summary

GPS test NOTAM: Global Positioning System test Notices to Airmen - GPS test NOTAMs are issued in the event that GPS is predicted to be unreliable and/or unavailable at a defined location for specific times, as indicated in the NOTAM, due to scheduled testing events.

Status and Problem Reporting	Conditions and Constraints
Scheduled event affecting service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Appropriate GPS Test NOTAM issued to the FAA at least 5 hours prior to the event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> For any SPS SIS

8.1 GPS Test NOTAMs Issued

GPS test NOTAMs were tracked and trended from GPS test NOTAMs posted on the FAA PilotWeb website (<https://pilotweb.nas.faa.gov/PilotWeb/>). During this reporting period, April 1 through June 30 2013, there were a total of 68 GPS test NOTAMs. The total number of days affected in this reporting period is 55. Tables 8.1 and 8.2 below list the statistics of areas affected and durations. Note that the minimum, average, and maximum durations are on a per GPS test NOTAM basis.

Table 8-1 GPS test NOTAM Durations

Cumulative duration	285 hours
Minimum duration	1.0 hours
Average duration	4.2 hours
Maximum duration	19.5 hours

Table 8-2 GPS Test NOTAM Affected Areas (Square Miles) by Altitude

	40,000 feet	25,000 feet	10,000 feet	4,000 feet	50 feet
Minimum	936	936	936	936	936
Average	629,031	465,466	274,570	260,851	192,814
Maximum	1,497,741	1,040,098	665,662	594,453	444,866

8.2 Tracking and Trending of GPS Test NOTAMs

The GPS Test NOTAMs that are tracked and trended for this reporting period were done with a specialized software analysis tool that is designed to not only trend but also archive GPS Test NOTAMs. It is designed to trend archived GPS Test NOTAMs for any specified time frame. In addition to the data provided in this report, this tool will provide all data presented here along with airports with affected procedures via a web interface. The web interface is available at the following URL: <http://waas.faa.gov/static/sog/notam/index.html>.

The five plots below illustrate a visual depiction of the affected areas at their corresponding altitudes along with the impacted RNAV routes (indicated in red). Note that some GPS Test NOTAMs occupy the same area and position but differ in effective dates and/or durations.

Figure 8-1 GPS Test NOTAMs @ FL400




Figure 8-2 GPS NOTAMs @ FL250




Figure 8-3 GPS NOTAMs @ 10k Feet




Figure 8-4 GPS NOTAMs @ 4k Feet





Figure 8-5 GPS NOTAMs @ 50 Feet



8.3 GPS Availability

The impacts to GPS availability are listed below for the corresponding locations and times. The percent impact to GPS availability over CONUS indicates that GPS is impacted for X % of the total area (total area of CONUS), centered at the indicated latitude/longitude. The last five columns in each table represent the impact to GPS availability at the corresponding altitude range. Altitudes 4,000 feet and under are with respect to above ground level (AGL), all remaining altitudes are with respect to MSL (mean sea level). Each row of the following table represents one GPS Test NOTAM. The remaining tables each represent one GPS Test NOTAM.

Table 8-3 NOTAM Impact to GPS Availability

Start Date	End Date	Latitude	Longitude	Percent Impact at each altitude				
				50	4000	10000	FL250	FL400
2013-04-01 13:00:00	2013-04-05 22:00:00	38.1541N	-76.2612W	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2013-04-08 13:00:00	2013-04-12 22:00:00	38.1541N	-76.2612W	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
2013-04-16 18:30:00	2013-04-17 22:30:00	33.2920N	-106.2239W	3.72	6.30	6.09	9.80	12.80
2013-04-19 16:00:00	2013-04-19 17:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-19 18:30:00	2013-04-19 22:30:00	33.2920N	-106.2239W	3.72	6.30	6.09	9.80	12.80
2013-04-19 22:00:00	2013-04-19 23:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-20 03:00:00	2013-04-20 07:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-22 21:30:00	2013-04-22 23:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-23 03:00:00	2013-04-23 04:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-23 16:00:00	2013-04-23 18:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-23 19:30:00	2013-04-23 21:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-24 03:30:00	2013-04-24 05:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-24 16:00:00	2013-04-24 18:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00

2013-04-24 19:30:00	2013-04-24 21:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-25 03:00:00	2013-04-25 05:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-25 16:00:00	2013-04-25 18:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-25 19:30:00	2013-04-25 21:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-26 03:00:00	2013-04-26 05:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-29 21:30:00	2013-04-29 23:59:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-30 03:00:00	2013-05-02 06:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-30 03:00:00	2013-05-02 22:30:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-04-30 17:00:00	2013-05-01 18:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-04-30 21:30:00	2013-05-01 23:59:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-05-01 18:30:00	2013-05-02 22:30:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-02 16:00:00	2013-05-02 19:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-05-02 21:30:00	2013-05-02 23:59:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-05-03 00:01:00	2013-05-03 03:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-05-08 03:00:00	2013-05-08 16:00:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-09 03:00:00	2013-05-09 16:00:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-10 03:00:00	2013-05-10 16:00:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-11 18:30:00	2013-05-11 22:30:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-12 03:00:00	2013-05-12 16:00:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-12 18:30:00	2013-05-12 22:30:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-13 03:00:00	2013-05-14 16:00:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48

2013-05-13 19:30:00	2013-05-13 21:30:00	40.1959N	-113.4220W	11.87	16.82	17.34	26.11	29.82
2013-05-14 19:30:00	2013-05-14 21:30:00	40.1959N	-113.4220W	11.87	16.82	17.34	26.11	29.82
2013-05-15 19:30:00	2013-05-15 21:30:00	40.1959N	-113.4220W	11.87	16.82	17.34	26.11	29.82
2013-05-16 03:00:00	2013-05-17 16:00:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-16 19:30:00	2013-05-16 21:30:00	40.1959N	-113.4220W	11.87	16.82	17.34	26.11	29.82
2013-05-17 18:30:00	2013-05-17 22:30:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-18 03:00:00	2013-05-18 16:00:00	33.5839N	-106.1214W	5.78	7.53	6.91	11.15	15.48
2013-05-29 18:30:00	2013-05-30 22:30:00	33.2919N	-106.2239W	3.72	7.12	6.50	11.04	13.62
2013-05-31 18:30:00	2013-05-31 22:00:00	37.3036N	-116.3257W	3.30	6.30	6.71	10.53	13.93
2013-06-09 12:00:00	2013-06-09 14:00:00	32.5500N	-79.0000W	0.93	1.34	2.48	4.64	6.50
2013-06-10 03:00:00	2013-06-11 06:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	4.54	10.53	16.20	23.12	29.93
2013-06-10 17:00:00	2013-06-10 19:30:00	30.2000N	-80.0000W	1.14	1.86	2.89	4.85	6.71
2013-06-11 17:00:00	2013-06-11 21:00:00	30.2000N	-80.0000W	1.14	1.86	2.89	4.85	6.71
2013-06-12 22:00:00	2013-06-12 23:59:00	30.2000N	-80.0000W	1.14	1.86	2.89	4.85	6.71
2013-06-16 12:00:00	2013-06-16 14:00:00	32.5500N	-79.0000W	0.93	1.34	2.48	4.64	6.50
2013-06-18 04:00:00	2013-06-18 05:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-06-18 20:00:00	2013-06-18 21:00:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-06-19 18:30:00	2013-06-21 22:30:00	33.0702N	-106.2540W	9.80	10.94	11.35	15.27	17.65
2013-06-19 20:00:00	2013-06-19 21:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-06-20 01:30:00	2013-06-22 03:00:00	33.0702N	-106.2540W	9.80	10.94	11.35	15.27	17.65
2013-06-20 03:00:00	2013-06-22 05:59:00	33.0702N	-106.2540W	9.80	10.94	11.35	15.27	17.65

2013-06-20 19:01:00	2013-06-20 21:30:00	39.3835N	-117.4702W	7.02	8.05	7.95	12.80	16.00
2013-06-21 11:00:00	2013-06-21 12:30:00	35.2401N	-116.3723W	3.30	4.64	6.19	9.60	11.46
2013-06-22 07:00:00	2013-06-23 12:00:00	35.2401N	-116.3723W	3.30	4.64	6.19	9.60	11.46
2013-06-22 16:30:00	2013-06-22 21:00:00	35.2401N	-116.3723W	3.30	4.64	6.19	9.60	11.46
2013-06-24 16:30:00	2013-06-24 21:00:00	35.2401N	-116.3723W	3.30	4.64	6.19	9.60	11.46
2013-06-25 01:30:00	2013-06-25 03:00:00	32.5142N	-106.0802W	1.65	4.02	4.23	7.64	10.22
2013-06-25 15:00:00	2013-06-25 19:00:00	35.5335N	-117.4040W	1.24	1.24	2.99	5.37	9.18
2013-06-26 01:30:00	2013-06-26 03:00:00	32.5142N	-106.0802W	1.65	4.02	4.23	7.64	10.22
2013-06-27 01:30:00	2013-06-27 03:00:00	32.5142N	-106.0802W	1.65	4.02	4.23	7.64	10.22

9 Appendices

9.1 Appendix A: Performance Summary

Table 9-1 Performance Summary

User Range Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints	Measured Performance
Single Frequency C/A-Code <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 7.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations over All AODs • ≤ 6.0m 95% Global Average URE during operations at Zero AOD • ≤ 12.8m 95% Global Average URE during normal operations at Any AOD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1 	<p style="text-align: center;">≤ 3.664 m</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N/A</p> <p style="text-align: center;">N/A</p>
Single Frequency C/A-Code <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 30m 99.94% Global Average URE during normal operations • ≤ 30m 99.79% Worst Case single point average during normal operations. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS. • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors • Including group delay time correction (T_{GD}) errors at L1 • Including inter-signal bias (P(Y)-code to C/A-code) errors at L1 • Standard based on measurement interval of one year; average of daily values within service volume • Standard based on 3 service failures per year, lasting no more than 6 hours each 	<p style="text-align: center;">100% Global</p> <p style="text-align: center;">100% WCP</p>
User Range Rate Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 6 mm/sec 95% Global Average URRE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors 	<p style="text-align: center;">≤ 3.868 mm/sec</p>
User Range Acceleration Error Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints	
Single-Frequency C/A-Code: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 2 mm/sec² 95% Global average URAE over any 3-second interval during normal operations at Any AOD 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any healthy SPS SIS • Neglecting all perceived pseudorange rate errors attributable to pseudorange step changes caused by NAV message data cutovers • Neglecting single-frequency ionospheric delay model errors 	<p style="text-align: center;">≤ 0.036 mm/s²</p>

Status and Problem Reporting	Conditions and Constraints	Measured Performance
Scheduled event affecting service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA at least 48 hours prior to the event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any SPS SIS 	≥ 140.223 hours Prior to event
Unscheduled outage or problem affecting service <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Appropriate NANU issued to the Coast Guard and the FAA as soon as possible after the event 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • For any SPS SIS 	UNUSUFN NANU issued before “unscheduled” outage (0 hours)
Operational Satellite Count	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 0.95 Probability that the constellation will have at least 24 operational satellites regardless of whether those operational satellites are located in slots or not 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Applies to the total number of operational satellites in the constellation (averaged over any day); where any satellite which appears in the transmitted navigation message almanac is defined to be an operation satellite regardless of whether that satellite is currently broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS or not and regardless of whether the broadcast SPS SIS also satisfies the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard or not. 	100%
PDOP Availability	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 98% global PDOP of 6 or less • ≥ 88% worst site PDOP of 6 or less 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval 	100 % 100 %
Service Availability	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 99% Horizontal Service Availability, average location • ≥ 99% Vertical Service Availability, average location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval. 	100% Horizontal 100% Vertical
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 90% Horizontal Service Availability, worst-case location • ≥ 90% Vertical Service Availability, worst-case location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 17m Horizontal (SIS only) 95% threshold • 37m Vertical (SIS only) 95% threshold • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions and operating within the service volume over any 24-hour interval. 	100% Horizontal 100% Vertical
Position/Time Accuracy	Conditions and Constraints	
Global Average Position Domain Accuracy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 9m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 15m 95% Vertical Error 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume. 	≤ 3.029 m Horizontal ≤ 4.290 m Vertical
Worst Site Position Domain Accuracy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 17m 95% Horizontal Error • ≤ 37m 95% Vertical Error 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a position/time solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume. 	≤ 5.992 m Horiz. ≤ 6.126 m Vert.
Time Transfer Domain Accuracy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 40 nanoseconds time transfer error 95% of time (SIS only) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Defined for a time transfer solution meeting the representative user conditions • Standard based on a measurement interval of 24 hours averaged over all points in the service volume. 	≤ 16 nanoseconds

Per-Slot Availability	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 0.957 Probability that a slot in the baseline 24-slot configuration will be occupied by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS • ≥ 0.957 Probability that a slot in the expanded configuration will be occupied by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually • Applies to satellites broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS that also satisfy the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard. 	<p style="text-align: center;">100%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">100%</p>
Constellation Availability	Conditions and Constraints	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≥ 0.98 Probability that at least 21 slots out of the 24 will be occupied either by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the baseline 24-slot configuration or by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the expanded slot configuration • ≥ 0.99999 Probability that at least 20 slots out of the 24 will be occupied either by a satellite broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the baseline 24-slot configuration or by a pair of satellites each broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS in the expanded slot configuration 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Calculated as an average over all slots in the 24-slot constellation, normalized annually. • Applies to satellites broadcasting a healthy SPS SIS that also satisfies the other performance standards in the SPS performance standard. 	<p style="text-align: center;">100%</p> <p style="text-align: center;">100%</p>

9.2 Appendix B: Geomagnetic Data

Prepared by the U.S. Dept. of Commerce, NOAA, Space Weather Prediction Center

Current Quarter Daily Geomagnetic Data

Date	Middle Latitude - Fredericksburg -						High Latitude ---- College ----						Estimated --- Planetary ---														
	A	K-indices					A	K-indices					A	K-indices													
2012 04 01	6	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	1	11	0	1	2	3	4	4	2	1	6	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
2012 04 02	9	3	2	2	1	3	2	2	2	17	2	4	3	4	5	2	1	1	8	3	3	2	1	2	2	1	2
2012 04 03	4	1	2	1	0	2	1	1	2	4	1	2	1	2	2	0	1	1	5	2	2	1	0	1	1	1	2
2012 04 04	6	2	2	1	1	2	2	2	2	5	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	2	6	2	2	0	1	1	2	2	3
2012 04 05	11	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	2	35	2	4	4	7	4	5	1	1	13	3	3	3	4	3	3	2	2
2012 04 06	3	1	1	1	0	1	0	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	2
2012 04 07	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	24	2	3	4	6	5	1	1	2	10	2	3	2	3	3	1	0	2
2012 04 08	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	6	2	1	0	4	1	1	1	0	5	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
2012 04 09	7	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	-1	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	4	0	1	1	0	1	1	2	2
2012 04 10	6	3	2	2	0	1	1	1	2	2	2	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	8	3	2	2	1	1	1	1	3
2012 04 11	5	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	3	1	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	5	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	2
2012 04 12	11	1	4	2	2	2	2	3	3	16	1	3	3	4	2	3	4	3	13	1	3	2	2	2	3	4	4
2012 04 13	13	3	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	26	3	4	5	6	3	3	2	1	19	5	5	4	4	2	2	2	2
2012 04 14	7	3	3	0	2	2	1	2	1	8	3	2	0	3	2	2	2	1	9	4	3	1	1	2	2	2	1
2012 04 15	5	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	1	6	1	1	3	3	2	1	1	0	5	1	2	2	2	1	1	2	1
2012 04 16	5	2	2	0	0	2	1	2	2	3	2	1	0	1	2	1	1	0	5	2	2	0	1	2	1	1	2
2012 04 17	8	2	0	3	2	2	2	3	2	12	0	0	3	4	3	2	4	2	8	1	0	2	2	2	2	3	2
2012 04 18	7	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	10	3	3	3	2	3	2	1	1	8	3	3	2	1	2	1	1	2
2012 04 19	5	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	2	3	1	1	2	1	0	0	1	2	5	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	2
2012 04 20	7	1	3	2	2	2	2	2	0	10	1	4	3	4	2	1	0	0	7	1	3	3	2	2	2	1	1
2012 04 21	6	2	0	0	2	2	1	3	2	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	6	2	1	1	1	1	1	3	3
2012 04 22	7	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1	14	3	3	3	4	3	3	2	1	7	3	2	2	2	2	1	1	1
2012 04 23	21	1	3	3	2	3	3	3	6	37	2	5	5	1	3	5	6	5	23	1	4	4	2	2	4	5	5
2012 04 24	30	6	4	5	2	2	2	3	5	28	4	4	6	2	4	3	3	4	35	6	5	5	2	2	2	4	5
2012 04 25	18	3	3	4	3	3	3	4	3	49	4	4	7	5	4	4	6	3	21	4	3	4	3	2	4	4	4
2012 04 26	17	5	5	2	2	2	2	2	2	19	5	5	3	3	2	2	2	1	17	5	5	2	1	2	1	2	2
2012 04 27	7	3	2	2	1	2	1	2	2	8	2	2	2	3	2	1	2	2	8	3	2	2	1	1	1	2	3
2012 04 28	5	1	0	1	2	2	1	2	2	10	1	1	1	4	3	3	2	1	5	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	2
2012 04 29	5	2	3	1	1	1	1	2	0	4	2	2	1	2	2	0	0	0	5	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	0
2012 04 30	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	3	1	1	1	1	0	1	0	0
2012 05 01	3	0	0	1	1	2	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2012 05 02	4	1	1	0	1	2	1	1	2	4	2	1	0	3	2	0	0	1	5	2	1	0	1	1	1	1	3
2012 05 03	9	3	2	2	2	2	1	3	3	10	2	2	3	4	2	2	1	2	8	3	2	2	2	1	1	1	3
2012 05 04	4	2	2	0	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	2	2	0	0	1	1	1	1
2012 05 05	4	0	0	0	2	3	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	4	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1
2012 05 06	5	1	1	2	1	3	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	0	5	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	0
2012 05 07	4	0	1	0	2	2	2	2	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	4	0	1	1	2	1	1	1	1
2012 05 08	8	1	2	1	2	3	2	2	3	4	1	2	0	2	1	1	1	2	9	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	4
2012 05 09	19	3	3	4	3	3	2	4	4	36	3	4	5	6	5	4	3	4	24	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	4
2012 05 10	11	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	19	4	3	3	5	3	2	2	3	12	3	3	2	2	2	2	3	4
2012 05 11	10	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	1	16	4	4	4	3	2	2	2	2	12	4	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
2012 05 12	8	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	13	2	2	2	4	4	3	2	2	10	3	2	2	2	3	2	2	3
2012 05 13	10	2	3	3	2	2	1	3	2	24	3	3	5	5	4	4	2	2	12	3	3	3	2	2	2	3	3
2012 05 14	7	3	2	1	1	2	2	2	1	3	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	2	6	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	2
2012 05 15	5	2	2	2	1	2	0	1	2	5	2	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	5	2	2	2	1	1	1	0	2
2012 05 16	8	2	1	1	1	3	1	3	3	10	2	1	1	1	4	3	2	3	9	2	2	1	1	2	2	3	4
2012 05 17	5	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	2	3	2	2	1	1	1	0	0	1	6	2	2	2	1	1	1	1	1
2012 05 18	8	3	2	1	2	2	2	2	2	8	2	2	1	3	3	2	1	2	8	3	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
2012 05 19	5	2	2	1	1	2	1	1	1	5	2	2	1	2	2	1	1	1	5	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
2012 05 20	12	3	4	3	2	3	2	1	1	13	2	4	3	3	4	2	1	1	13	3	4	3	2	3	2	2	2
2012 05 21	7	0	1	0	2	2	2	4	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	2	1	7	1	1	1	1	1	1	4	2

2012 05 22	15	2	4	2	2	4	3	3	3	25	2	4	4	4	5	5	2	2	16	2	4	2	2	3	3	4	3
2012 05 23	13	3	4	3	3	2	2	2	2	25	4	4	5	5	4	2	2	2	18	4	5	4	3	2	2	2	2
2012 05 24	11	2	2	3	1	3	2	3	3	11	2	2	4	3	3	2	1	1	8	2	3	2	2	2	2	2	2
2012 05 25	8	2	1	1	3	3	2	2	1	13	2	2	2	5	3	3	1	1	6	2	2	1	2	2	2	1	1
2012 05 26	5	2	1	1	1	3	1	1	0	4	2	2	1	3	0	0	0	0	4	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
2012 05 27	3	1	1	0	1	2	2	1	0	2	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	4	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	0
2012 05 28	3	0	0	0	1	2	2	2	1	3	0	0	0	2	1	2	1	1	6	0	1	1	1	2	3	3	1
2012 05 29	5	1	1	0	1	2	2	2	2	4	1	2	0	0	1	1	2	2	6	1	2	1	1	2	2	2	2
2012 05 30	5	2	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	14	3	2	2	5	3	2	2	1	6	2	2	1	2	2	2	2	1
2012 05 31	7	2	1	2	2	2	2	3	1	9	3	1	2	4	1	2	2	0	10	3	2	2	2	2	3	3	1
2012 06 01	6	1	2	0	2	2	2	2	2	4	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	6	1	2	1	2	2	2	2	2
2012 06 02	8	1	1	1	2	3	2	2	3	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	9	1	1	1	1	2	3	2	4
2012 06 03	16	2	3	3	3	4	4	3	1	33	2	2	3	3	6	6	5	3	19	3	2	2	2	4	5	4	1
2012 06 04	14	2	3	2	3	4	3	3	2	28	2	3	4	6	5	3	3	3	16	2	3	3	4	4	3	3	3
2012 06 05	15	2	4	3	2	3	3	3	3	33	3	4	5	5	6	3	3	3	17	3	4	3	2	4	3	3	3
2012 06 06	17	4	4	2	3	3	3	3	3	29	4	4	2	5	5	5	3	3	17	3	4	2	3	3	3	4	3
2012 06 07	8	2	2	1	2	2	2	3	2	10	3	3	1	4	1	1	2	1	8	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	2
2012 06 08	9	1	2	3	3	2	1	3	2	11	2	3	4	4	2	0	1	0	8	2	2	3	3	1	1	2	1
2012 06 09	9	2	2	1	2	2	2	3	3	7	2	3	1	3	1	0	2	1	8	2	2	1	1	2	1	3	2
2012 06 10	6	0	0	1	2	3	2	2	2	2	0	1	0	0	1	1	0	1	6	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	2
2012 06 11	11	2	2	2	2	2	2	3	4	22	2	2	5	4	4	4	3	3	14	2	2	3	2	2	3	3	5
2012 06 12	10	4	4	1	1	2	1	1	1	8	4	3	2	0	2	1	2	0	13	5	4	1	1	1	1	1	1
2012 06 13	7	2	2	2	1	3	1	2	1	3	1	2	1	1	0	1	1	1	6	2	2	2	2	1	1	2	1
2012 06 14	4	0	1	1	2	2	2	2	0	3	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	0	4	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0
2012 06 15	4	0	0	0	2	2	2	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	4	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1
2012 06 16	19	0	1	1	2	3	3	4	6	12	0	1	0	3	4	3	2	4	19	1	1	1	2	3	3	4	6
2012 06 17	36	4	4	5	6	5	3	4	3	55	2	5	6	6	6	6	5	3	39	4	4	5	6	5	4	4	4
2012 06 18	13	3	5	2	2	2	2	1	2	28	3	5	5	5	4	4	2	1	15	4	5	3	2	2	2	1	1
2012 06 19	5	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
2012 06 20	4	1	2	1	1	2	1	2	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	4	2	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
2012 06 21	3	1	0	0	1	2	1	2	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1
2012 06 22	5	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1	8	1	1	2	3	4	2	1	0	5	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	1
2012 06 23	4	1	0	2	1	1	1	1	2	4	1	0	2	3	1	1	1	0	4	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
2012 06 24	5	1	1	1	1	2	2	2	1	2	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	5	2	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
2012 06 25	5	1	1	2	2	-1	-1	2	1	13	2	3	3	3	4	3	2	1	9	1	2	2	2	3	3	2	2
2012 06 26	7	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	2	14	3	4	2	5	2	1	1	1	8	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	2
2012 06 27	8	1	1	1	2	3	3	2	2	11	1	1	1	3	3	4	2	3	6	1	1	1	2	2	2	2	2
2012 06 28	7	2	2	3	2	2	2	1	1	11	2	2	3	3	3	2	3	1	6	2	2	3	2	1	1	1	1
2012 06 29	6	1	1	1	1	2	1	2	3	2	1	1	0	0	1	0	1	2	5	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	3
2012 06 30	21	2	3	4	3	5	3	3	4	41	3	4	5	6	6	5	3	3	22	3	3	4	4	4	4	3	4

9.3 Appendix C: Performance Analysis (PAN) Problem Report

In 1993, the FAA began monitoring and analyzing Global Positioning System (GPS) Standard Positioning Service (SPS) performance data. At present, the FAA has approved GPS for IFR and is developing WAAS and LAAS, both of which are GPS augmentation systems. In order to ensure the safe and effective use of GPS and its augmentation systems within the NAS, it is critical that characteristics of GPS performance as well as specific causes for service outages be monitored and understood. To accomplish this objective, GPS SPS performance data is documented in a quarterly GPS Performance Analysis (PAN) report. The PAN report contains data collected at various National Satellite Test Bed (NSTB) and Wide Area Augmentation System (WAAS) reference station locations. This PAN Problem Report will be issued only when the performance data fails to meet the GPS Standard Positioning Service (SPS) Signal Specification.

Problem Description:

There were no problems this quarter.

9.4 Appendix D: Glossary

The terms and definitions discussed below are taken from the Standard Positioning Service Performance Specification (October 2001). An understanding of these terms and definitions is a necessary prerequisite to full understanding of the Signal Specification.

General Terms and Definitions

Almanac Longitude of the Ascending Node (.o): Equatorial angle from the Prime Meridian (Greenwich) at the weekly epoch to the ascending node at the ephemeris reference epoch.

Coarse/Acquisition (C/A) Code: A PRN code sequence used to modulate the GPS L1 carrier.

Corrected Longitude of Ascending Node (Ω_k) and Geographic Longitude of the Ascending Node (GLAN): Equatorial angle from the Prime Meridian (Greenwich) to the ascending node, both at arbitrary time T_k .

Dilution of Precision (DOP): The magnifying effect on GPS position error induced by mapping GPS ranging errors into position within the specified coordinate system through the geometry of the position solution. The DOP varies as a function of satellite positions relative to user position. The DOP may be represented in any user local coordinate desired. Examples are HDOP for local horizontal, VDOP for local vertical, PDOP for all three coordinates, and TDOP for time.

Equatorial Angle: An angle along the equator in the direction of Earth rotation.

Geometric Range: The difference between the estimated locations of a GPS satellite and an SPS receiver.

Ground track Equatorial Crossing (GEC, λ , 2 SOPS GLAN): Equatorial angle from the Prime Meridian (Greenwich) to the location a ground track intersects the equator when crossing from the Southern to the Northern hemisphere. GEC is equal to Ω_k when the argument of latitude (Φ) is zero.

Instantaneous User Range Error (URE): The difference between the pseudo range measured at a given location and the expected pseudo range, as derived from the navigation message and the true user position, neglecting the bias in receiver clock relative to GPS time. A signal-in-space (SIS) URE includes residual orbit, satellite clock, and group delay errors. A system URE (sometimes known as a User Equivalent Range Error, or UERE) contains all line-of-sight error sources, to include SIS, single-frequency ionosphere model error, troposphere model error, multipath and receiver noise.

Longitude of Ascending Node (LAN): A general term for the location of the ascending node – the point that an orbit intersects the equator when crossing from the Southern to the Northern hemisphere.

Longitude of the Ground track Equatorial Crossing (GEC, λ , 2 SOPS GLAN): Equatorial angle from the Prime Meridian (Greenwich) to the location a ground track intersects the equator when crossing from the Southern to the Northern hemisphere. GEC is equal to Ω_k when the argument of latitude (Φ) is zero.

Mean Down Time (MDT): A measure of time required to restore function after any downing event.

Mean Time Between Downing Events (MTBDE): A measure of time between any downing events.

Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF): A measure of time between unscheduled downing events.

Mean Time to Restore (MTTR): A measure of time required to restore function after an unscheduled downing event.

Navigation Message: Data contained in each satellite's ranging signal and consisting of the ranging signal time-of-transmission, the transmitting satellite's orbital elements, an almanac containing abbreviated orbital element

information to support satellite selection, ranging measurement correction information, and status flags. The message structure is described in Section 2.1.2 of the SPS Performance Standard.

Operational Satellite: A GPS satellite which is capable of, but is not necessarily transmitting a usable ranging signal.

PDOP Availability: Defined to be the percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the PDOP value is less than or equal to its threshold for any point within the service volume.

Positioning Accuracy: Defined to be the statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

- **Horizontal Positioning Accuracy:** Defined to be the statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between horizontal position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

- **Vertical Positioning Accuracy:** Defined to be the statistical difference, at a 95% probability, between vertical position measurements and a surveyed benchmark for any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

Position Solution: An estimate of a user's location derived from ranging signal measurements and navigation data from GPS.

Position Solution Geometry: The set of direction cosines that define the instantaneous relationship of each satellite's ranging signal vector to each of the position solution coordinate axes.

Pseudo Random Noise (PRN): A binary sequence that appears to be random over a specified time interval unless the shift register configuration and initial conditions for generating the sequence are known. Each satellite generates a unique PRN sequence that is effectively uncorrelated (orthogonal) to any other satellite's code over the integration time constant of a receiver's code tracking loop.

Representative SPS Receiver: The minimum signal reception and processing assumptions employed by the U.S. Government to characterize SPS performance in accordance with performance standards defined in Section 3 of the SPS Performance Standard. Representative SPS receiver capability assumptions are identified in Section 2.2 of the SPS Performance Standard.

Right Ascension of Ascending Node (RAAN): Equatorial angle from the celestial principal direction to the ascending node.

Root Mean Square (RMS) SIS URE: A statistic that represents instantaneous SIS URE performance in an RMS sense over some sample interval. The statistic can be for an individual satellite or for the entire constellation. The sample interval for URE assessment used in the SPS Performance Standard is 24 hours.

Selective Availability: Protection technique formerly employed to deny full system accuracy to unauthorized users. SA was discontinued effective midnight May 1, 2000.

Service Availability: Defined to be the percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% positioning error is less than its threshold for any given point within the service volume.

- **Horizontal Service Availability:** Defined to be the percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% horizontal error is less than its threshold for any point within the service volume.

- **Vertical Service Availability:** Defined to be the percentage of time over any 24-hour interval that the predicted 95% vertical error is less than its threshold for any point within the service volume.

Service Degradation: A condition over a time interval during which one or more SPS performance standards are not supported.

Service Failure: A condition over a time interval during which a healthy GPS satellite's ranging signal exceeds the Not-to-Exceed (NTE) SPS SIS URE tolerance.

Service Reliability: The percentage of time over a specified time interval that the instantaneous SIS SPS URE is maintained within a specified reliability threshold at any given point within the service volume, for all healthy GPS satellites.

Service Volume: The spatial volume supported by SPS performance standards. Specifically, the SPS Performance Standard supports the terrestrial service volume. The terrestrial service volume covers from the surface of the Earth up to an altitude of 3,000 kilometers.

SPS Performance Envelope: The range of nominal variation in specified aspects of SPS performance.

SPS Performance Standard: A quantifiable minimum level for a specified aspect of GPS SPS performance. SPS performance standards are defined in Section 3.0.

SPS Ranging Signal: An electromagnetic signal originating from an operational satellite. The SPS ranging signal consists of a Pseudo Random Noise (PRN) C/A code, a timing reference and sufficient data to support the position solution generation process. A description of the GPS SPS signal is provided in Section 2. The formal definition of the SPS ranging signal is provided in ICDGPS-200C.

SPS Ranging Signal Measurement: The difference between the ranging signal time of reception (as determined by the receiver's clock) and the time of transmission derived from the navigation signal (as defined by the satellite's clock) multiplied by the speed of light. Also known as the *pseudo range*.

SPS SIS User Range Error (URE) Statistic:

- A satellite SPS SIS URE statistic is defined to be the Root Mean Square (RMS) difference between SPS ranging signal measurements (neglecting user clock bias and errors due to propagation environment and receiver), and "true" ranges between the satellite and an SPS user at any point within the service volume over a specified time interval.
- A constellation SPS SIS URE statistic is defined to be the average of all satellite SPS SIS URE statistics over a specified time interval.

Time Transfer Accuracy Relative to UTC (USNO): The difference at a 95% probability between user UTC time estimates and UTC (USNO) at any point within the service volume over any 24-hour interval.

Transient Behavior: Short-term behavior not consistent with steady-state expectations.

Usable SPS Ranging Signal: An SPS ranging signal that can be received, processed, and used in a position solution by a receiver with representative SPS receiver capabilities.

User Navigation Error (UNE): Given a sufficiently stationary and ergodic satellite constellation ranging error behavior over a minimum sample interval, multiplication of the DOP and a constellation ranging error standard deviation value will yield an approximation of the RMS position error. This RMS approximation is known as the UNE (UHNE for horizontal, UVNE for vertical, and so on). The user is cautioned that any divergence away from the stationary and ergodic assumptions will cause the UNE to diverge from a RMS value based on actual measurements.

User Range Accuracy (URA): A conservative representation of each satellite's expected (1σ) SIS URE performance (excluding residual group delay) based on historical data. A URA value is provided that is representative over the curve fit interval of the navigation data from which the URA is read. The URA is a coarse representation of the URE statistic in that it is quantized to levels represented in ICDGPS200C.